



**WICHITA STATE
UNIVERSITY**

UNIVERSITY LIBRARIES

1966-11-14 University Senate meeting

Item Type	Administrative document
Authors	University Senate
Citation	University Senate. Agenda and minutes of the meeting of November 14, 1966. -- University Senate Meetings, 1966-1967, v.3
Publisher	Wichita State University
Download date	2026-05-17 16:37:06
Link to Item	https://soar.wichita.edu/handle/10057/21715



University Senate Archives

University Senate

Academic year 1966-1967

Volume III

Agenda and Minutes of the Meeting of November 14, 1966

Additional information: Digitized by University Libraries Technical Services and archived in SOAR: Shocker Open Access Repository at:
<https://soar.wichita.edu/handle/10057/15233>

UNIVERSITY SENATE
WICHITA STATE UNIVERSITY

NOTICE OF MEETING

Date : Monday, November 14, 1966
Place: East Ballroom, Campus Activities Center
Time : 3:30 PM

AGENDA

- I. Approval of Minutes of Meeting of October 24, 1966
- II. Addition of American Civilization courses to the core curriculum --
Mrs. Dorothy Froning
- III. Report of Athletic Committee -- Mr. Craig
- IV. Report of AAUP rating of university salaries -- Mr. Goldman
- ~~V. Report of Committee on Academic Freedom -- Mr. Millett~~
- VI. Other business

W.S.U. ECONOMIC STATUS REPORT 1966-1967

	Avg. Min.		<u>Avg. Compensation-Rank</u>				Avg. Avg.	
	Scale	Scale	Prof.	Assoc.	Asst.	Inst.	Comp.	Salary
1964-65							8,879	8,416
<i>KSU</i>							<i>KSU-10,465</i>	
1965-66	D	D	D	C	C	B	8,920	8,444
<i>KU</i>	C	D	C	C	B	<i>BI KU-10,682</i>		
1966-67	C	C	C	C	B	B	9,580	9,021

Continuing Fac. up 8%
General 6%

FACULTY ATHLETIC POLICY COMMITTEE

A. Purpose:

1. To act as the representative voice for the faculty in matters concerning athletics as a part of college life.
2. The formulation of general policy concerning the role of athletics in a university.
3. The avoidance of involvement in the execution of an athletic program.

B. General Philosophy:

1. Athletics makes a real and unique contribution to the total educational experience of a college student.
2. Particular benefit is received by the student who participates rather than simply spectates in athletics. This leads to the development of many sports rather than a few so as to involve the greatest number of students. The conspicuous absence of intercollegiate competition in so-called minor sports indicates a need for a broadened athletic program.
3. Excellence in athletics should be pursued with the same enthusiasm as the seeking of excellence in academic endeavors, but with the constraint that professionalism and commercialism are to be strictly avoided. Adherence to a conviction as to the proper role of athletics in higher education should be the basis for any program development.

4. It should be recognized that the student athlete is not a typical student, particularly with regard to his greater responsibility in terms of obligated time. Correspondingly, an obligation exists on the part of the university to provide and insist upon an education for each student athlete, as well as four years of eligibility. This obligation includes careful counseling, realistic academic course work scheduling, and continuous cooperation between the student, the faculty, and the coaching staff.

Delete

SPECIFIC TASKS

1. Establish faculty policies concerning:
 - a. Future plans and goals for athletic program.
 - b. Structure of athletic department (particularly, desirability of an athletic corporation).
 - c. Ticketing policies for both students and faculty.
2. Working with NCAA Representative, come to know and form a representative faculty opinion about MUC and NCAA policies.

Wichita State University

INTER-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

To Donald Cowgil, President, Faculty Senate Date Nov. 4, 1966
From Dorothy Froning, Chairman, University Curriculum Committee
Subject Agenda for next general faculty meeting

The University Curriculum Committee approved the inclusion of the American Civilization courses listed below in the University Core Curriculum. This means that the Core Curriculum (page 22 of the 1966-67 catalog) will read:

(III) Humanities:

(e) American Civilization: 301 (2), 311 (3), 312 (3),
321 (3), 322 (3), 411 (3), 412 (3)

(f) Humanities: 102 (4)

In accordance with our present policy, this change should be approved by the faculty. Therefore, if the Senate approves it, will you see that it is included on the agenda for the next general faculty meeting? Thanks a lot.

Dorothy Froning

*Passed by the Senate
Nov. 14*

GENERAL PHILOSOPHY

1. Athletics makes a real and unique contribution to the total educational experience of a college student.
2. Particular benefit is received by the student who participates rather than simply spectates in athletics. This leads to the development of many sports rather than a few so as to involve the greatest number of students. The conspicuous absence of intercollegiate competition in so-called minor sports indicates a need for a broadened athletic program.
3. Excellence in athletics should be pursued with the same enthusiasm as the seeking of excellence in academic endeavors, but with the constraint that professionalism and commercialism are to be strictly avoided. Adherence to a conviction as to the proper role of athletics in higher education should be the basis for any program development.

Preliminary report?

Faculty has responsibility

UNIVERSITY SENATE
WICHITA STATE UNIVERSITY

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF NOVEMBER 14, 1966

Time: 3:30 P.M., Monday, November 14, 1966
Place: East Ballroom, Campus Activities Center
Presiding: Mr. Cowgill

As recorded on the attendance sheet, those present were: Miss Boardman, Messrs. Armstrong, Berg, Bubieniec, Ceasar, Chrisman, Comstock, Cowgill, Cress, Cutler, Dent, Dralle, Genova, Hanson, Harder, Herman, Johnson, Kenyon, Killian, Miller, Missal, Mood, Paulson, Powell, Richardson, Savaiano, Snyder, Sowards, Taggart, Terflinger, Terwilliger. Not present were: Miss Burgess, Miss Sherman, Messrs. Breazeale, Duerksen, Dunn, Easterling, Graham, Jabara, Jakowatz, Lindquist, Nicholson, Nickel, Spomer, Voth, Woodard.

I. Mr. Cowgill called the meeting to order. On motion of Mr. Genova, seconded by Mr. Ceasar, minutes of the meeting of October 24, 1966, were approved as circulated.

II. Mr. Cowgill introduced Mrs. Froning, Chairman of the Curriculum Committee, who reported that the Committee recommended that American Civilization 301 (2), 311 (3), 312 (3), 321 (3), 322 (3), 411 (3) and 412 (3) be included in the University Core Curriculum under Division III, Humanities, Sub-division e, American Civilization. During the discussion, it was suggested that the inclusion of these courses in the University Core Curriculum be indicated by the phrase, "all courses with the exception of 498 and 499," following Sub-division e, American Civilization, of Division III, Humanities. The present Sub-division e, Humanities, would become Sub-division f.

On motion of Mr. Snyder, the recommendation passed unanimously.

III. Mr. Cowgill next introduced Mr. Craig, Chairman of the Athletic Policy Committee. Mr. Craig distributed copies of the following statement of "General Philosophy" agreed upon by members of the Athletic Policy Committee:

1. Athletics makes a real and unique contribution to the total educational experience of a college student.
2. Particular benefit is received by the student who participates rather than simply spectates in athletics. This leads to the development of many sports rather than a few so as to involve the greatest number of students. The conspicuous absence of intercollegiate competition in so-called minor sports indicates a need for a broadened athletic program.
3. Excellence in athletics should be pursued with the same enthusiasm as the seeking of excellence in academic endeavors, but with the constraint that

professionalism and commercialism are to be strictly avoided. Adherence to a conviction as to the proper role of athletics in higher education should be the basis for any program development.

After reading the statement of "General Philosophy," Mr. Craig answered questions posed by members of the Senate. During the lengthy discussion, it became evident that many members of the Senate were of the opinion that the faculty does not have sufficient responsibility in determining the athletic policies of the University. Mr. Craig stated that according to the rules of the National Collegiate Athletic Association, the faculties of universities are held responsible for the athletic programs. Furthermore, he reported that it was the opinion of the Athletic Policy Committee that the athletic program should be student-oriented. Mr. Craig made it clear that "athletic program" as used in the statement of "General Philosophy" does not include the service programs in physical education provided by the Departments of Physical Education.

Mr. Missal moved that the Senate approve the presented statement of "General Philosophy" as a basic step to enable the Athletic Policy Committee to proceed in the development of further recommendations regarding the policies on athletics at the University, said recommendations to be submitted to the faculty for approval. Mr. Genova seconded.

Mr. Herman suggested that the Senate request the Athletic Policy Committee to make as one of its central concerns the question how does the intercollegiate athletic program bear upon the academic achievement of students.

Mr. Harder introduced a motion, subsidiary to Mr. Missal's, that further discussion of the question be postponed until the next meeting of the Senate. The motion passed.

- IV. Mr. Goldman, President of the local chapter of the American Association of University Professors, was next introduced by Mr. Cowgill. Mr. Goldman distributed the following, "W.S.U. Economic Status Report 1966-67", provided by the AAUP:

	Avg. Scale	Min. Scale	Avg. Compensation-Rank				Avg. Comp.	Avg. Salary
			Prof.	Assoc.	Asst.	Inst.		
1964-65							8,879	8,416
1965-66	D	D	D	C	C	B	8,920	8,444
1966-67	C	C	C	C	B	B	9,580	9,021

In the discussion which followed, Mr. Goldman explained that the figures presented as "Average Compensation" include fringe benefits. He also gave the comparable figures for 1965-66 at the University of Kansas and Kansas State University.

Mr. Powell, speaking for Mr. Lindquist, suggested that the average salary at the University be compared only in a most cautious way with the average salaries at K.U. and K.S.U. For example, whereas the percentage of full professors at the University is 15%, that at K.U. is 30%. He stated that the letter ratings provided by the A.A.U.P. are more significant than the average salary. Mr. Goldman concurred.

