



Faculty Senate Archives

Faculty Senate

Academic year 2007-2008

Volume XXI

Agenda and Minutes of the Meeting of October 08, 2007

WICHITA STATE UNIVERSITY
FACULTY SENATE
AGENDA

MEETING NOTICE	Monday, October 8, 2007
	CH 107 3:30 p.m.

ORDER OF BUSINESS:

- I. Call of the Meeting to Order
- II. Informal Statements and Proposals
- III. Approval of the Minutes -- Monday, September 24, 2007 -
- <http://webs.wichita.edu/senate/M9-24-07.htm>
- IV. President's Report
- V. Committee Reports
 - A. Rules -- Larry Spurgeon, Chair
 - B. Academic Affairs -- Krishna Krishnan, chair
- VI. Old Business
 - A. Proposal revisions to the Subvention policy from Faculty Support Committee -- Jeff Quirin, past chair -
- <http://webs.wichita.edu/senate/subvention.htm> -- *2nd reading*
- VII. New Business
- VIII. As May Arise

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Office	Campus Phone	Campus Box
President -- Silvia Carruthers silvia.herzog@wichita.edu	6268	Box 53
President-Elect -- Larry Spurgeon larry.spurgeon@wichita.edu	6260	Box 77
Vice President -- JoLynne Campbell jolynne.campbell@wichita.edu	5653	Box 43
Secretary -- Bill Vanderburgh william.vanderburgh@wichita.edu	7882	Box 74
Past President -- Brigitte Roussel brigitte.roussel@wichita.edu	6329	Box 11
Elected by the Senate		
Cathy Moore-Jansen cathy.moore-jansen@wichita.edu	5080	Box 68
Roy Myose roy.myose@wichita.edu	5935	Box 44
Appointed by the Faculty Senate President		
Lori Miller lori.miller@wichita.edu	5980	Box 16
Office of Faculty Senate Bobbi Dreiling, Administrative Assistant bobbi.dreiling@wichita.edu	3504	Box 111



Policies and Procedures

[Text Only Tool](#)

2.12 / Subvention

6.23 / Handbook for Faculty

Accepted by the Faculty Senate October 8, 2007

Purpose:

It is the policy of Wichita State University to encourage publication of books authored or co-authored by members of the faculty in fulfillment of the responsibility to engage in scholarly activity. To that end, recognizing the increased frequency of requests by publishers for subventions, Wichita State University may in some circumstances provide subventions from nonstate funds to aid members of the faculty engaged in substantive negotiations with publishers. ***Inasmuch as subventions are not University-sponsored research grants or creative-activities awards***, the University reserves the right to recover the sum of the subvention when such recovery is possible.

Eligibility:

Subvention may be requested by an author(s) who is full-time tenured or probationary member of the faculty of WSU.

If a member of the faculty co-authors a book with a member(s) of the faculty of another institution(s), the institution(s) shall negotiate concerning shared responsibility for subvention.

Application Procedures:

The author(s) shall prepare a written request for subvention and include a letter from the editor stating that the book has undergone professional evaluation and that substantive negotiations are under way.

The application must be submitted to the appropriate department chair(s) for review and approval. If approved, the chair(s) submits the application, with a letter of approval, to the dean(s) for review and approval. If approved, the dean(s) will submit the application, the chair(s) approval letter and his/her own letter of approval to the Faculty Support Committee.

The Faculty Support Committee shall evaluate the proposal for the relevance of the book to the area or areas of expertise of the author(s), the scholarly merits of the book, and the quality of the press. The committee may invite an additional reviewer(s) to participate in the discussion of the merits of the book or of the press. If the committee approves the application, it will be transmitted to the Vice President for Academic Affairs and Research, along with a letter of recommendation, for final action.

Should the application be rejected at any level, the author(s) will receive an explanation in writing. The applicant(s) may seek a review of a negative recommendation by the Faculty Support Committee from the Vice President for Academic Affairs and Research.

Should the application be approved, the Vice President for Academic Affairs and Research or his/her designee will negotiate with the author(s) and the publisher. An agreement stating the exact terms of the subvention, including the amount of the subvention and terms for recovery of the amount, when recovery is possible, will be added to the contractual record of the book by adding an addendum to the contract or by an exchange of letters of understanding added to that record.

Recovery of Costs:

This University shall recover the amount of its subvention, when recovery is possible. If the University provides the entire subvention, it shall recover the sum of the subvention before the author(s) receives royalties.

If the University and the author(s) share in the subvention, the University shall receive a share of the royalties proportional to its share, until the amount of the University's contribution to the subvention has been recovered.

Taxation:

The author(s) acknowledges and agrees that he/she will be solely responsible for any and all tax consequences which may result or arise as a result of the payment of subvention support.

Acknowledgment: The author(s) shall acknowledge the University's subvention in the preface, introduction, or acknowledgments.

Wichita State University Faculty Senate meeting Monday, October 8, 2007

MEMBERS PRESENT: Acker, Bolin, Byrum, Campbell, Carruthers, Celestin, Craig-Moreland, Decker, deSilva, Duncan, Forlaw, Hager, Hamdeh, Hemans, Hershfield, Jarnagin, Jeffres, Klunder, Lancaster, Liera-Schwichtenberg, Miller, C.Moore-Jansen. P. Moore-Jansen, Myers, Myose, Rillema, Rokosz, Ross, Roussel, Russell, Scherz, Schneegurt, Smith-Campbell, Spurgeon, Vanderburgh, Yeager, Yildirim

MEMBERS ABSENT: Gordon, Proctor, Taher, Turk

MEMBERS EXCUSED: Coufal, Hathaway, Skinner, Thibeault, Uning

EX-OFFICIO MEMBERS: Provost Miller

Summary of Action:

1. **Confirmed the following committee members;**
 - Sai Deng and Robert Lawless --Faculty Support**
 - Ikram Ahmed and Annette Lezotte -- Library**
 - Roy Myose -- General Education**
 - William Miles -- Scholarship and Student Aid**
2. **Accepted a revision to the Faculty Senate Rules**
3. **Referred the proposal for Bioengineering program back to Academic Affairs**
4. **Accepted the proposed revisions for the Subvention Policy**

I. **Call of the Meeting to Order:** President Carruthers called the meeting to order at 3:30pm

II. **Informal Statements and Proposals: none**

III. **Approval of the Minutes:** Minutes of September 24, 2007, accepted as presented

IV. **President's Report:** President Carruthers reported the following:

A. She represented faculty at **We Are Wichita State campaign** completion celebration: campaign raised more than \$48M

B. Senator Gordon's ad hoc committee concerning Academic Freedom: Executive Committee agrees it is a good idea and recommends two charges to the committee 1. to gather information on the post-9/11 challenges; and 2. look at WSU Policies and Procedures Handbook to ensure that the new concerns are adequately handled.

C. General Education Coordinator: There were no applications for the position. This is possibly because of heavy administrative/paperwork load. Suggestion were made to tweak to job description so that it is more faculty friendly rather than secretarial; get Coordinator to teach about Gen Ed/enhanced capacity for faculty involvement. Also, ad was very late. Sense of Gen Ed Committee is to still have a faculty Gen Ed Coordinator: the responsible person must be faculty. Suggestion from committee to advertise now for fall,

comprehensive recruitment effort. For now, chair Toops will do more as will the committee. Associate Provost Pickus will be more involved, and there may be some secretarial support. Question about whether administrators should serve ex officio on this committee. Peer Moore-Jansen point out we need to look long term and work closely with administration. He also noted that the committee should be applauded for their work and suggested that the Program should be given the same prominence as the Honors program. President Carruthers suggested that if workload was shifted from paperwork, the Coordinator could be an interesting position. Senator Campbell suggests a structure like Honors (with a director and an administrative assistant) would give committee more weight, visibility, teeth, communication power, and advocating ability. Senator Celestin noted that having the right systems in place will make the work of Gen Ed more efficient; an educational agenda would be nice.

D. She has requested that raw scores from SPTE be provided and is waiting on response. Council of Faculty Senate Presidents has teaching evaluations on their agenda next week; more later.

E. Senator Smith-Campbell made a request for information about the university's pandemic preparedness policy.

V. Committee Reports:

A. Rules—Larry Spurgeon, chair noted that the committee is examining/re-writing grievance policy and working on the election procedures, including possible use of electronic elections. He also presented nominations for standing committee membership (see Summary of Action). He presented a revision to the Faculty Senate Rules to include **President's Report** as part of the agenda.

B. Academic Affairs—Krishna Krishnan, chair presented the committee's report regarding the Bioengineering program proposal: that was rejected by committee for various reasons. It has been referred back to the College of Engineering. The committee felt that the proposal has merit but cannot be approved in current form. The Committee was worried about 1. improper routing since the routing sheet not signed [the Executive later learned the Faculty Affairs Committee was misinformed on this point]., 2. This may not be the same proposal that was passed by college, 3. The committee strongly disagrees with claim that library resources are adequate for this new program, 4. there no documented research on the viability of the program, 5. Having three areas of focus makes the program too diffuse 6. Recommendation that funding for new positions in Engineering, Biology, Chemistry and Health Professions needs detail, 7. Not all the other departments have signed off on the proposal., 8. Lack of a graduate program will hurt recruitment of students and faculty, and 8. Worry about “internal reallocation of resources.” Committee recommends a “library sign-off” on the routing sheet. Senator Lancaster moved (Rillema 2nd) to Refer this matter back to Academic Affairs for consultation with interested parties, and to formulate recommendation to the Executive. Motion passed

VI. Old Business:

A. Proposed revisions to the Subvention Policy -- 2nd reading Senator Klunder moved (P.Moore-Jansen -2nd) acceptance of the revisions. Motion Passed

VII. New Business: none

VIII: As May Arise: none

The meeting was adjourned at 5:00 pm

Respectfully Submitted,

William Vanderburgh, Secretary