



Faculty Senate Archives

Faculty Senate

Academic year 1990-1991

Volume IV

Agenda of the Meeting of May 6, 1991

AGENDA
FACULTY SENATE
THE WICHITA STATE UNIVERSITY

Room 126 CH

3:30 pm

Meeting Notice: Monday, May 6, 1991

Order of Business

- I. Calling of the Meeting to Order
- II. Election of Planning and Budget Committee
- III. Informal Proposals and Statements
- IV. Approval of Minutes
- V. Old Business
 - a. Reconsideration of proposed changes in the Advisory Committee on Advancement (Second reading; blue attachment on April 29)
 - b. Proposal to create a faculty ombudsperson position (Second reading; green attachment on March 18)
- VI. New Business
 - a. Consideration of a proposal to create a KMUW Radio Advisory Council (green attachment on April 29)
 - b. Consideration of attached committee reports from Library Appeals and Traffic Appeals

PLEASE NOTE: This agenda is for the Faculty Senate of 1990-91. Next year's Faculty Senate will meet at 3:00 pm to elect officers.

TO: Dave Alexander
FROM: Daniel Biggs
SUBJECT: Annual Committee Report
DATE: April 16, 1991

As of the current academic year the following members are associated with the Traffic Appeals Committee:

STUDENT

Biggs, Daniel (Chairman)
Levitt, Denis

STAFF

Taggert, Maryann
Gertsen, Marryann (ALT)

FACULTY

Faires, Wesley
Johns, Buddy
Brinkman, Sidney (ALT)
Liu, Ming (ALT)
Erickson, James (ALT)

The Traffic Appeals Committee meets bi-monthly on a Wednesday in room 314 of the C.A.C. The meetings usually last on the average of around two hours. Additionally, the meetings begin at 2:00pm. Present during the meeting is a representative of the Omsbudsman Office and a representative of the University Police Department.

The main function of the Traffic Appeals Committee is to read written appeals and to listen to in-person appeals made by students, faculty, and other members of the university concerning traffic violations, and to make decisions based on the W.S.U. Traffic Violations handbook as to whether

these violations were given properly. Although given some discretion in making our decisions, the Committee strictly adheres to the W.S.U. Traffic Violations handbook in making decisions.

63

REPORT TO THE FACULTY SENATE
LIBRARY APPEALS COMMITTEE
ANNUAL REPORT 1990-1991

I. Committee Membership

The members of the 1990-1991 Library Appeals Committee are as follows:

Jeffrey E. Fernandez	Industrial Engineering
Malcolm P. Germann	Library (Chair)
Helen L. Halstead	Nursing
William Harmon	Student Affairs (Administration)
Buddy A. Johns, Jr.	Mathematics and Statistics
Virgil Pangburn	(Student)

William Harmon, the administrative representative, left the University during the year; his position on the committee has not been filled. Virgil Pangburn, student representative, died this spring and his position also has not been filled.

II. Appeals Summary

The committee heard seventy-four appeals during its three meetings, held once each session. Appeals cleared, either by the library or by the appellants, were not heard by the committee. Disposition of appeals filed is indicated in Table 1.

Table 1
LIBRARY APPEALS
 FY 1991

	SUMMER	FALL	SPRING	TOTALS
UPHELD	6	2	1	9
DENIED	6	21	28	55
REDUCED	2	1	1	4
PENDING	4	0	2	6
CLEARED BY LIBRARY	5	0	8	13
CLEARED BY APPELLANT	6	4	11	21
TOTAL	29	28	51	108

III. Issues

Two appeals were of special concern to the committee. It was hoped that policies could be developed by the appropriate departments or campus units to avoid similar appeals in the future.

One of the situations involved a disabled student who had used a student from Handicapped Services to check out and return material for her on her card. The student from Handicapped Services apparently did not return the materials, and the disabled student was held responsible for the library charges. The committee believes that Handicapped Services might wish to develop a policy and procedure to deal with this type of situation.

A second situation of concern involved a lost part of a music score. If a score of music is checked out, it is checked out as one item to one person; however, the various parts might be distributed to a number of individuals. If one part is lost, the person checking out the materials is currently held responsible for the entire score, as individual parts cannot be replaced. The committee believes that the music department, in coordination with the music library, might develop some policy and procedure for handling this in the future.