



HLC Accreditation 2016-2017

Evidence Document

Academic Affairs

Office of Planning and Analysis

Comparison of Institutional Peer Measures to Wichita State University

Additional information:



Comparison of Institutional Peer Measures to Wichita State University
 Data provided by the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS)
 This file contains institutional measures of Peers and Aspirational Institutions

Table of Contents

- Section 1: Financials
- Section 2: Employment Related
- Section 3: Student Related
 - 3.1 Enrollment and Student Credit Hours (SCH)
 - 3.2 Financial Support
 - 3.3 Demographics
 - 3.4 Degree Related
 - 3.5 Admissions Related


Financial ratios are derived from the Strategic Financial Analysis for Higher Education, 6th edition, KPMG International. This document is available from the National Association of College & University Business Officers website at www.NACUBO.org.

Note-- The time periods of data reported can vary by dimension; see descriptions in report for information on when data are reported.

<u>Peer Institutions</u>	<u>Aspirational Institutions</u>
New Mexico State University	Auburn University
University of Massachusetts - Lowell	Clemson University
University of Nevada Reno	Oklahoma State University
University of North Dakota	University of Akron
Wright State University	University of Texas El Paso

Questions concerning this report should be directed to David Wright of the Provost Office, Lois Tatro of Financial Operations and Business Technology or Tiffany Franks in the Office of Planning and Analysis.

Comparison of Selected Postsecondary Peer Institutions with Wichita State University from the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS)

	Wichita State University	Peer type:			WATC	Peer	Peer	Peer	Peer	Peer	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational
		Peers	Aspirational	Peer		Peer	Peer	Peer	Peer	Peer	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational
Dimensions	WSU	Peers	Aspirational	WATC	New Mexico State University	University of Massachusetts-Lowell	University of Nevada Reno	University of North Dakota	Wright State University	Auburn University	Clemson University	Oklahoma State University	University of Akron	University of Texas El Paso	

Section 1: Financials (FY 2014 data reported)

Section 1.1: Financial Ratios (reference Strategic Financial Analysis for Higher Education, 7th edition, KPMG)

Financial ratios calculated using IPEDS data differs from financial ratios reported to the state due to the inclusion of component unit financial data for state reported ratios.

The Composite Financial Index (CFI) is based on the summated scaled and weighted four core ratios (Primary Reserve, Viability, Return on Net Assets and Net Operating Revenues Ratios). This combination allows a weakness or strength in a specific ratio to be offset by another ratio result, thereby allowing a more holistic approach to understanding the total financial health of the institution. Since it is difficult to create comparable Net Operating Revenue Ratios for public institutions, a modified CFI is created that includes only the first three core ratios. (p.133, KPMG)

Composite Financial Index (CFI)	0.46	0.47	1.02	n/a	0.01	0.69	0.61	0.87	0.23	1.55	1.14	0.55	0.54	0.89
Modified Composite Financial Index (CFI)	0.52	0.60	0.95	n/a	0.62	0.63	0.60	0.85	0.42	1.38	1.07	0.54	0.52	0.91

1.1.1: Are resources sufficient and flexible enough to support the mission?

The Primary Reserve Ratio measures the financial strength of the institution by comparing expendable net assets to total expenses. Expendable net assets represent those assets that the institution can access relatively quickly and spend to satisfy its debt obligations. This ratio provides a snapshot of financial strength and flexibility by indicating how long the institution could function using its expendable reserves without relying on additional net assets generated by operations. (p.111 KPMG)

(restricted & unrestricted expendable net assets / total expenses & other deductions)															
IPEDS Primary Reserve Ratio	25.3%	31.6%	55.3%	26.9%	30.1%	28.5%	34.3%	33.6%	30.7%	89.0%	58.7%	26.9%	36.1%	58.5%	
num days expenses from reserves	92	115	202	98	110	104	125	123	112	325	214	98	132	214	

1.1.2: Are resources, including debt, managed strategically to advance the mission?

The Viability Ratio measures one of the most basic determinants of clear financial health: the availability of expendable net assets to cover debt should the institution need to settle its obligations as of the balance sheet date. (p.115, KPMG)

(restricted & unrestricted expendable net assets / long-term debt noncurrent)														
IPEDS-based Viability Ratio	1.74	0.63	1.41	n/a	1.17	0.24	0.71	1.12	1.08	1.06	2.49	0.59	0.00	0.00

1.1.3: Does asset performance and management support the strategic direction?

The Return on Net Assets Ratio determines whether the institution is financially better off than in previous years by measuring total economic return. This ratio furnishes a broad measure of the change in an institution's total wealth over a single year and is based on the level and change in total net assets, regardless of asset classification. Thus, the ratio provides the most comprehensive measure of the growth or decline in total wealth of an institution over a specific period of time. (p.122, KPMG)

(increase in net assets during year / total net assets)														
IPEDS Retr on Net Assets Ratio	1.9%	3.6%	3.6%	13.1%	3.4%	5.7%	2.5%	7.7%	-0.8%	4.2%	3.5%	3.6%	1.6%	3.9%

1.1.4: Do operating results indicate the institution is living within available resources?

The Net Operating Revenues Ratio is a primary indicator, explaining how the surplus from operating activities affects the behavior of the other three core ratios. A large surplus or deficit directly impacts the amount of funds an institution adds to or subtracts from net assets, thereby affecting the Primary Reserve Ratio, the Return on Net Assets Ratio and the Viability Ratio. A positive ratio indicates that the institution experienced an operating surplus for the year. Generally speaking, the larger the surplus, the stronger the institution's financial performance as a result of the year's activities. Calculating operating margins is difficult, at best. Comparing operating margins across higher education institutions is virtually impossible. For public institutions, the operating indicator specified by GASB excludes state appropriations as operating revenue, and the results are not comparable. Most institutions base their financial decisions on the operating budget. Unfortunately, for most higher education institutions, the operating budget bears little resemblance to the audited financial statements. This means that the operating margin as understood by the institution may differ, perhaps considerably, from the margin calculated off the financial statements. (p.127, KPMG)

(total operating & non-operating revenue - total expenditures & other deductions / total operating & non-operating revenues)														
IPEDS Net Oper Rev Ratio	-2.7%	-5.4%	2.6%	4.8%	-24.0%	2.3%	0.7%	0.7%	-7.4%	6.8%	3.0%	0.2%	0.8%	-0.8%

Comparison of Selected Postsecondary Peer Institutions with Wichita State University from the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS)

Dimensions	WSU	Peer type:		WATC	Peer New Mexico State University	Peer University of Massachusetts- Lowell	Peer University of Nevada Reno	Peer University of North Dakota	Peer Wright State University	Aspirational Auburn University	Aspirational Clemson University	Aspirational Oklahoma State University	Aspirational University of Akron	Aspirational University of Texas El Paso
		Peers	Aspirational											
The Net Tuition Dependency Ratio measures tuition and fees less all financial aid as a percentage of total operating income for public institutions. The Net Tuition per Student Full Time Equivalent (FTE) Ratio allows the institution to see the average amount of actual tuition revenue on a per-student basis. These two ratios behave differently. An increase in the Net Tuition per Student FTE Ratio is a positive occurrence; however, a decrease in the Net Tuition Dependency Ratio usually benefits the institution. A downward trend in the Net Tuition Dependency Ratio is considered a positive occurrence because it usually indicates that the institution is increasing its diversity of funding sources. (p.130, KPMG)														
Net Tuition & Fees Contribution Ratio	29.1%	26.1%	35.9%	23.6%	10.2%	43.2%	21.5%	30.0%	35.6%	38.9%	38.5%	30.4%	44.6%	25.3%
Net Tuition Dependency Ratio	29.9%	27.5%	35.0%	22.4%	12.6%	42.2%	21.4%	29.8%	38.3%	36.3%	37.3%	30.3%	44.2%	25.5%
Net Tuition per Student FTE Ratio	\$6,551	\$8,683	\$11,486	\$2,676	\$4,051	\$11,828	\$7,876	\$10,121	\$10,001	\$14,828	\$14,109	\$11,333	\$10,427	\$5,688
Demand ratios measure the extent to which each type of expense is consuming operating revenues. (p.130, KPMG)														
Instructional Demand Ratio	51.1%	62.0%	45.8%	88.7%	52.8%	57.3%	67.6%	69.3%	58.3%	45.2%	42.2%	35.2%	63.2%	59.0%
Academic Support Demand Ratio	19.4%	13.7%	11.3%	48.5%	9.3%	13.5%	13.1%	11.6%	22.5%	12.2%	8.2%	12.3%	13.3%	11.7%
Student Service Demand Ratio	18.4%	11.4%	5.9%	30.4%	7.2%	13.9%	12.7%	13.3%	8.7%	5.1%	6.9%	4.5%	5.3%	9.7%
Institutional Support Demand Ratio	16.3%	13.4%	10.4%	26.3%	10.5%	22.3%	10.4%	10.8%	14.9%	10.8%	7.4%	5.5%	20.7%	15.0%
Research Demand Ratio	31.4%	24.5%	23.1%	0.0%	51.1%	18.9%	23.7%	16.5%	14.1%	21.4%	26.0%	22.9%	13.5%	34.7%
Section 1.2: Financial Proportions														
1.2.1 Revenues														
<i>Revenue Type of Total Revenues</i>														
Total operating revenues	58.7%	57.7%	65.0%	45.2%	42.1%	65.9%	60.3%	65.3%	59.6%	66.2%	73.5%	64.6%	64.7%	48.0%
Total non-operating revenues	37.0%	33.8%	32.4%	54.3%	35.7%	31.7%	37.4%	27.9%	34.3%	33.4%	24.6%	31.6%	34.5%	43.8%
Total other revenues & additions	4.3%	4.0%	2.6%	0.5%	5.1%	2.4%	2.3%	6.8%	3.4%	0.5%	1.9%	3.7%	0.8%	8.3%
<i>Revenue Components of Total Revenues</i>														
Operating revenues	41.9%	45.4%	46.4%	35.2%	34.6%	50.9%	44.6%	47.5%	54.8%	47.0%	52.1%	41.0%	51.7%	39.5%
Sales & services aux & other	15.2%	11.1%	16.4%	7.5%	5.6%	12.2%	15.3%	17.7%	4.1%	16.8%	17.1%	22.1%	12.7%	7.2%
Other operating sources	1.7%	1.1%	2.2%	2.5%	1.8%	2.9%	0.5%	0.2%	0.8%	2.4%	4.2%	1.5%	0.3%	1.3%
State & Federal appropriations	24.9%	24.1%	20.8%	24.4%	27.5%	23.8%	24.4%	21.9%	21.3%	23.9%	13.4%	25.2%	19.7%	20.5%
State & Federal grants	6.6%	4.0%	4.3%	28.3%	4.2%	4.3%	3.1%	2.4%	6.5%	1.5%	1.6%	4.4%	6.9%	12.0%
Gifts & investments	5.5%	5.1%	7.2%	1.5%	3.1%	3.6%	8.6%	2.9%	6.5%	7.9%	9.3%	2.1%	7.9%	11.2%
Other non-operating	0.0%	0.5%	0.1%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Capital appropriations	2.1%	1.7%	0.6%	0.5%	0.9%	0.8%	0.8%	4.1%	2.2%	0.0%	1.1%	1.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Capital grants & gifts	1.0%	1.6%	1.0%	0.0%	2.1%	1.6%	1.4%	1.5%	1.2%	0.4%	0.8%	1.9%	0.1%	1.9%
Adds to permanent endowments	0.0%	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.8%
Other revenues & additions	1.3%	0.4%	0.9%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	5.5%
Tuition, Fees & Operating Grants of Total Revenues														
Tuition & Fees before discounts	34.6%	28.3%	39.9%	23.2%	11.3%	47.8%	23.0%	28.1%	43.5%	45.0%	39.2%	38.1%	49.3%	23.4%
Tuition & fees after discounts	28.6%	25.1%	34.1%	22.3%	9.8%	41.2%	20.9%	27.7%	35.9%	36.1%	36.6%	29.2%	43.9%	23.4%
Disc & allowances on tuition & fees	7.7%	7.5%	7.8%	21.9%	7.1%	10.0%	7.1%	4.0%	10.2%	10.5%	9.4%	3.2%	7.7%	8.1%
Fed operating grants & contracts	8.5%	12.6%	7.6%	11.5%	17.8%	6.5%	15.0%	12.2%	7.6%	8.3%	7.5%	7.5%	4.3%	10.0%
State operating grants & contracts	4.0%	2.5%	2.7%	0.6%	4.7%	0.4%	2.3%	2.3%	1.6%	0.7%	6.5%	0.7%	1.6%	4.6%
Local/private grants & contracts	0.8%	6.4%	2.3%	0.8%	2.8%	3.0%	10.7%	5.2%	9.7%	2.1%	1.8%	3.5%	2.1%	1.6%

Comparison of Selected Postsecondary Peer Institutions with Wichita State University from the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS)

Dimensions	WSU	Peer type:		WATC	Peer New Mexico State University	Peer University of Massachusetts- Lowell	Peer University of Nevada Reno	Peer University of North Dakota	Peer Wright State University	Aspirational Auburn University	Aspirational Clemson University	Aspirational Oklahoma State University	Aspirational University of Akron	Aspirational University of Texas El Paso
		Peers	Aspirational											
Expenditures of Total Revenues														
Total expenditures of total revenues	98.3%	96.4%	94.9%	94.7%	96.5%	95.3%	97.0%	92.6%	100.8%	92.8%	95.1%	96.0%	98.4%	92.5%
<i>Expend. components of Total Rev</i>														
Salaries & wages	47.1%	44.4%	39.9%	42.0%	37.7%	45.4%	47.2%	46.7%	46.5%	43.0%	40.1%	36.2%	42.7%	36.9%
Employee fringe benefits	13.7%	12.3%	13.9%	11.5%	11.0%	9.8%	11.4%	15.6%	14.3%	14.1%	14.0%	13.6%	17.5%	9.9%
Depreciation	4.8%	5.3%	6.7%	4.7%	4.8%	6.5%	5.3%	4.6%	5.5%	7.3%	5.2%	6.9%	7.9%	6.3%
All other (incl plant & other oper)	32.4%	28.1%	32.6%	36.4%	24.4%	30.4%	31.1%	24.6%	31.1%	27.0%	35.1%	36.3%	26.0%	39.4%
Interest	0.3%	1.6%	1.9%	0.0%	0.9%	3.3%	1.9%	1.1%	0.9%	1.4%	0.7%	3.1%	4.4%	0.0%
Scholarship & Fellowship expenses	5.3%	2.7%	6.2%	0.0%	3.0%	2.7%	2.3%	0.8%	5.0%	1.8%	2.7%	12.2%	4.7%	11.8%
<i>Expend. components of Total Rev</i>														
Instruction	30.0%	35.8%	29.8%	40.1%	22.2%	37.8%	40.8%	45.3%	34.7%	29.9%	31.0%	22.8%	40.9%	28.3%
Academic Support	11.4%	7.9%	7.3%	21.9%	3.9%	8.9%	7.9%	7.6%	13.4%	8.1%	6.0%	8.0%	8.6%	5.6%
Student Services	10.8%	6.6%	3.8%	13.8%	3.0%	9.2%	7.7%	8.7%	5.2%	3.4%	5.1%	2.9%	3.4%	4.7%
Institutional Support	9.6%	7.7%	6.8%	11.9%	4.4%	14.7%	6.3%	7.0%	8.9%	7.2%	5.4%	3.6%	13.4%	7.2%
Research	18.4%	14.1%	15.0%	0.0%	21.5%	12.5%	14.3%	10.8%	8.4%	14.2%	19.1%	14.8%	8.7%	16.7%
1.2.2 Expenditures														
<i>Expend. Type of Total Expend.</i>														
Salaries & wages	47.9%	48.4%	42.0%	44.4%	47.8%	47.6%	48.6%	50.4%	47.3%	46.4%	42.1%	37.7%	43.3%	39.9%
Employee fringe benefits	13.9%	13.5%	14.7%	12.1%	14.0%	10.3%	11.8%	16.9%	14.5%	15.2%	14.8%	14.1%	17.8%	10.7%
Depreciation	4.9%	5.7%	7.0%	5.0%	6.1%	6.8%	5.5%	5.0%	5.6%	7.8%	5.5%	7.2%	8.0%	6.8%
All other	33.0%	30.7%	34.3%	38.5%	31.0%	31.9%	32.1%	26.6%	31.7%	29.1%	36.9%	37.8%	26.4%	42.6%
Interest	0.3%	1.7%	2.0%	0.0%	1.1%	3.5%	2.0%	1.1%	0.9%	1.5%	0.7%	3.2%	4.4%	0.0%
<i>Functional Area of Total Expend.</i>														
Instruction	32.3%	40.2%	33.6%	42.3%	29.3%	40.8%	43.0%	49.3%	37.2%	32.9%	33.5%	27.2%	43.6%	35.1%
Academic Support	12.2%	8.9%	8.3%	23.2%	5.2%	9.6%	8.4%	8.3%	14.4%	8.9%	6.5%	9.5%	9.2%	6.9%
Student Services	11.6%	7.4%	4.3%	14.5%	4.0%	9.9%	8.1%	9.5%	5.6%	3.7%	5.5%	3.4%	3.6%	5.8%
Institutional Support	10.3%	8.6%	7.6%	12.6%	5.8%	15.9%	6.6%	7.7%	9.5%	7.9%	5.9%	4.2%	14.3%	8.9%
Research	19.8%	15.9%	16.9%	0.0%	28.4%	13.4%	15.1%	11.8%	9.0%	15.6%	20.6%	17.6%	9.3%	20.7%
Public Service	9.9%	6.5%	8.5%	0.0%	11.2%	0.3%	8.9%	5.3%	4.6%	12.0%	9.8%	9.6%	2.5%	3.2%
Auxiliary	3.2%	7.5%	20.0%	7.4%	6.4%	9.6%	7.5%	8.3%	5.9%	19.1%	18.1%	28.4%	17.4%	12.5%
Other	0.7%	4.1%	0.8%	0.0%	5.4%	0.4%	2.4%	0.0%	13.8%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	6.8%

Comparison of Selected Postsecondary Peer Institutions with Wichita State University from the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS)

Dimensions	WSU	Peer type:		WATC	Peer	Peer	Peer	Peer	Peer	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational
		Peers	Aspirational		New Mexico State University	University of Massachusetts-Lowell	University of Nevada Reno	University of North Dakota	Wright State University	Auburn University	Clemson University	Oklahoma State University	University of Akron	University of Texas El Paso

Section 1.3: Financial Data

Institutional finance data for public institutions that use accounting standards established by the Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB). Finance data includes institutional revenues by source, expenditures by category and assets & liabilities. This information provides context for understanding the cost of providing postsecondary education. IPEDS data source: Finance, Public Institutions - GASB 34/35, **FY 2014**

TOTAL REVENUES/EXPENSES/NET ASSETS														
Total revenues and other additions	\$266,482,340	\$478,056,600	\$708,510,513	\$26,632,811	\$574,383,979	\$370,658,000	\$596,058,537	\$464,178,888	\$385,003,595	\$921,516,756	\$822,130,063	\$859,502,450	\$497,926,917	\$441,476,380
Total expenses & other deductions	\$261,967,075	\$460,772,705	\$672,234,957	\$25,215,566	\$554,016,185	\$353,367,000	\$578,438,598	\$429,767,226	\$388,274,517	\$855,378,273	\$782,074,599	\$825,300,783	\$490,200,740	\$408,220,392
Net assets end of year	\$239,029,522	\$484,604,371	\$1,011,774,192	\$10,803,989	\$593,223,194	\$303,832,000	\$691,329,970	\$444,668,470	\$389,968,220	\$1,593,617,061	\$1,156,424,610	\$949,216,162	\$495,891,328	\$863,721,801
REVENUE:														
Total all revenues	\$266,482,340	\$478,056,600	\$708,510,513	\$26,632,811	\$574,383,979	\$370,658,000	\$596,058,537	\$464,178,888	\$385,003,595	\$921,516,756	\$822,130,063	\$859,502,450	\$497,926,917	\$441,476,380
OPERATING REVENUES														
Total Operating revenues	\$156,489,673	\$275,638,900	\$460,737,158	\$12,036,663	\$241,763,907	\$244,436,000	\$359,372,863	\$303,103,364	\$229,518,367	\$609,866,725	\$604,143,076	\$555,506,992	\$322,342,710	\$211,826,286
Oper rev frm disc tuition&grants	\$111,524,322	\$216,888,031	\$329,072,025	\$9,381,514	\$198,996,763	\$188,564,000	\$265,616,439	\$220,331,488	\$210,931,464	\$432,864,679	\$428,598,166	\$351,971,568	\$257,620,632	\$174,305,078
Sales & services of aux enterp	\$8,466,302	\$30,932,738	\$99,146,130	\$1,992,598	\$27,892,476	\$45,101,000	\$37,523,141	\$34,243,346	\$9,903,728	\$115,517,549	\$121,927,349	\$180,092,170	\$53,534,520	\$24,659,064
Sales & services of hospitals	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Sales & services of educ actv	\$31,994,989	\$21,428,246	\$17,033,055	\$0	\$0	\$33,000	\$53,503,141	\$47,831,285	\$5,773,803	\$39,451,655	\$18,777,424	\$10,137,551	\$9,868,035	\$6,930,612
Independent operations	\$0	\$892,571	\$0	\$0	\$4,462,857	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other sources - operating	\$4,504,060	\$5,497,314	\$15,485,947	\$662,551	\$10,411,811	\$10,738,000	\$2,730,142	\$697,245	\$2,909,372	\$22,032,842	\$34,840,137	\$13,305,703	\$1,319,523	\$5,931,532
Percent of Total Operating revenues	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Oper rev frm disc tuition&grants	71.3%	78.7%	71.4%	77.9%	82.3%	77.1%	73.9%	72.7%	91.9%	71.0%	70.9%	63.4%	79.9%	82.3%
Sales & services of aux enterp	5.4%	11.2%	21.5%	16.6%	11.5%	18.5%	10.4%	11.3%	4.3%	18.9%	20.2%	32.4%	16.6%	11.6%
Sales & services of hospitals	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sales & services of educ actv	20.4%	7.8%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.9%	15.8%	2.5%	6.5%	3.1%	1.8%	3.1%	3.3%
Independent operations	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other sources - operating	2.9%	2.0%	3.4%	5.5%	4.3%	4.4%	0.8%	0.2%	1.3%	3.6%	5.8%	2.4%	0.4%	2.8%

Comparison of Selected Postsecondary Peer Institutions with Wichita State University from the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS)

Dimensions	WSU	Peer type:		WATC	Peer New Mexico State University	Peer University of Massachusetts- Lowell	Peer University of Nevada Reno	Peer University of North Dakota	Peer Wright State University	Aspirational Auburn University	Aspirational Clemson University	Aspirational Oklahoma State University	Aspirational University of Akron	Aspirational University of Texas El Paso
		Peers	Aspirational											
NON-OPERATING REVENUES														
Total non-operating revenues	\$98,481,758	\$161,373,138	\$229,302,761	\$14,450,452	\$204,924,933	\$117,413,000	\$223,005,679	\$129,604,118	\$131,917,960	\$307,457,523	\$202,268,797	\$271,777,383	\$171,839,592	\$193,170,511
<i>Federal appropriations</i>	\$0	\$0	\$2,113,287	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$10,566,435	\$0	\$0	\$0
<i>State appropriations</i>	\$66,279,677	\$115,164,763	\$144,953,667	\$6,510,597	\$158,178,258	\$88,136,000	\$145,708,715	\$101,623,783	\$82,177,060	\$220,424,304	\$99,591,087	\$216,276,312	\$97,877,162	\$90,599,469
<i>Local appropriations & reit support</i>	\$0	\$579,099	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,895,497	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<i>Federal non-operating grants</i>	\$17,573,026	\$18,332,858	\$27,898,018	\$5,611,155	\$24,361,659	\$15,781,000	\$18,732,002	\$11,369,543	\$21,420,085	\$14,032,340	\$13,089,438	\$24,826,195	\$34,474,524	\$53,067,595
<i>State non-operating grants</i>	\$0	\$683,896	\$2,607,423	\$1,033,440	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,419,479	\$0	\$0	\$12,913,990	\$0	\$123,125
<i>Local non-operating grants</i>	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$893,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<i>Gifts, incl affil organizations</i>	\$14,543,597	\$8,673,869	\$25,378,510	\$254,695	\$6,590,652	\$3,484,000	\$16,864,531	\$9,079,004	\$7,351,158	\$35,784,224	\$50,056,529	\$14,362,056	\$19,592,095	\$7,097,648
<i>Investment income</i>	\$85,458	\$15,494,253	\$25,906,983	\$147,565	\$11,191,292	\$10,004,000	\$34,282,714	\$4,443,080	\$17,550,178	\$37,216,655	\$26,740,945	\$3,398,830	\$19,895,811	\$42,282,674
<i>Other non-operating revenues</i>	\$0	\$2,444,400	\$444,873	\$0	\$4,603,072	\$8,000	\$7,417,717	\$193,211	\$0	\$0	\$2,224,363	\$0	\$0	\$0
% of Total non-operating revenue	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<i>Federal appropriations</i>	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>State appropriations</i>	67.3%	71.4%	63.2%	45.1%	77.2%	75.1%	65.3%	78.4%	62.3%	71.7%	49.2%	79.6%	57.0%	46.9%
<i>Local appropriations & reit support</i>	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Federal non-operating grants</i>	17.8%	11.4%	12.2%	38.8%	11.9%	13.4%	8.4%	8.8%	16.2%	4.6%	6.5%	9.1%	20.1%	27.5%
<i>State non-operating grants</i>	0.0%	0.4%	1.1%	7.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%	0.1%
<i>Local non-operating grants</i>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Gifts, incl affil organizations</i>	14.8%	5.4%	11.1%	1.8%	3.2%	3.0%	7.6%	7.0%	5.6%	11.6%	24.7%	5.3%	11.4%	3.7%
<i>Investment income</i>	0.1%	9.6%	11.3%	1.0%	5.5%	8.5%	15.4%	3.4%	13.3%	12.1%	13.2%	1.3%	11.6%	21.9%
<i>Other non-operating revenues</i>	0.0%	1.5%	0.2%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%	3.3%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Comparison of Selected Postsecondary Peer Institutions with Wichita State University from the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS)

Dimensions	WSU	Peer type:		WATC	Peer New Mexico State University	Peer University of Massachusetts- Lowell	Peer University of Nevada Reno	Peer University of North Dakota	Peer Wright State University	Aspirational Auburn University	Aspirational Clemson University	Aspirational Oklahoma State University	Aspirational University of Akron	Aspirational University of Texas El Paso
		Peers	Aspirational											
OTHER REVENUES														
Total other revenues & additions	\$11,510,909	\$19,239,186	\$18,470,594	\$145,696	\$29,286,094	\$8,809,000	\$13,679,995	\$31,471,406	\$12,949,435	\$4,192,508	\$15,718,190	\$32,218,075	\$3,744,615	\$36,479,583
<i>Capital appropriations</i>	\$5,490,674	\$8,033,587	\$4,387,170	\$145,696	\$4,938,434	\$2,788,000	\$4,982,659	\$19,139,744	\$8,319,099	\$16,585	\$9,397,423	\$9,341,450	\$3,180,390	\$0
<i>Capital grants & gifts</i>	\$2,620,389	\$7,599,797	\$7,046,698	\$0	\$12,107,729	\$5,843,000	\$8,534,839	\$6,883,081	\$4,630,336	\$3,722,074	\$6,197,794	\$16,659,275	\$281,360	\$8,372,988
<i>Adds to permanent endowments</i>	\$0	\$1,581,938	\$899,819	\$0	\$7,732,192	\$0	\$162,497	\$15,000	\$0	\$453,849	\$122,973	\$2,112	\$282,865	\$3,637,295
<i>Other revenues & additions</i>	\$3,399,846	\$2,023,864	\$6,136,908	\$0	\$4,507,739	\$178,000	\$0	\$5,433,581	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,215,238	\$0	\$24,469,300
percent of Other Revenues	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<i>Capital appropriations</i>	47.7%	41.8%	23.8%	100.0%	16.9%	31.6%	36.4%	60.8%	64.2%	0.4%	59.8%	29.0%	84.9%	0.0%
<i>Capital grants & gifts</i>	22.8%	39.5%	38.2%	0.0%	41.3%	66.3%	62.4%	21.9%	35.8%	88.8%	39.4%	51.7%	7.5%	23.0%
<i>Adds to permanent endowments</i>	0.0%	8.2%	4.9%	0.0%	26.4%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	10.8%	0.8%	0.0%	7.6%	10.0%
<i>Other revenues & additions</i>	29.5%	10.5%	33.2%	0.0%	15.4%	2.0%	0.0%	17.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	19.3%	0.0%	67.1%
REVENUE Sub-components:														
TUITION DISCOUNTS														
Total gross sch & fellowships	\$34,773,018	\$49,729,913	\$104,764,740	\$5,828,960	\$57,837,621	\$48,148,000	\$59,564,571	\$22,683,809	\$60,415,562	\$120,042,416	\$111,568,046	\$137,593,459	\$66,870,667	\$87,749,111
<i>Pell grants (federal)</i>	\$17,573,026	\$17,770,886	\$27,760,198	\$5,475,156	\$24,360,109	\$15,394,000	\$18,732,002	\$9,388,934	\$20,979,386	\$14,032,340	\$12,894,517	\$24,332,016	\$34,474,524	\$53,067,595
<i>Other federal grants</i>	\$1,008,158	\$1,370,245	\$1,013,054	\$58,333	\$1,791,936	\$504,000	\$2,200,779	\$953,653	\$1,400,855	\$623,096	\$194,921	\$1,805,142	\$1,492,469	\$949,640
<i>Grants by state government</i>	\$144,102	\$10,788,868	\$20,812,386	\$2,168	\$19,822,288	\$3,602,000	\$20,239,122	\$4,137,811	\$6,143,120	\$0	\$57,479,149	\$14,969,688	\$0	\$31,613,092
<i>Grants by local government</i>	\$0	\$4,788	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$23,942	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<i>Inst grants restricted research</i>	\$51,081	\$4,445,156	\$13,773,777	\$57,854	\$3,171,219	\$3,865,000	\$5,926,903	\$6,616,222	\$2,646,438	\$23,852,573	\$19,353,232	\$19,729,473	\$3,814,823	\$2,118,784
<i>Inst grants unrestricted research</i>	\$15,996,651	\$15,349,969	\$41,405,325	\$235,449	\$8,692,069	\$24,783,000	\$12,441,823	\$1,587,189	\$29,245,763	\$81,534,407	\$21,646,227	\$76,757,140	\$27,088,851	\$0
% of Total gross sch & felshps	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<i>Pell grants (federal)</i>	50.5%	35.7%	26.5%	93.9%	42.1%	32.0%	31.4%	41.4%	34.7%	11.7%	11.6%	17.7%	51.6%	60.5%
<i>Other federal grants</i>	2.9%	2.8%	1.0%	1.0%	3.1%	1.0%	3.7%	4.2%	2.3%	0.5%	0.2%	1.3%	2.2%	1.1%
<i>Grants by state government</i>	0.4%	21.7%	19.9%	0.0%	34.3%	7.5%	34.0%	18.2%	10.2%	0.0%	51.5%	10.9%	0.0%	36.0%
<i>Grants by local government</i>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Inst grants restricted research</i>	0.1%	8.9%	13.1%	1.0%	5.5%	8.0%	10.0%	29.2%	4.4%	19.9%	17.3%	14.3%	5.7%	2.4%
<i>Instl grants unrestricted research</i>	46.0%	30.9%	39.5%	4.0%	15.0%	51.5%	20.9%	7.0%	48.4%	67.9%	19.4%	55.8%	40.5%	0.0%
Total discounts & fellowships	\$20,547,060	\$37,036,588	\$60,800,168	\$5,828,960	\$40,838,025	\$38,216,000	\$46,117,123	\$18,795,792	\$41,216,000	\$103,035,976	\$89,452,849	\$32,668,522	\$43,302,549	\$35,540,945
<i>Disc & allowances on tuition & fees</i>	\$20,547,060	\$35,719,003	\$55,013,500	\$5,828,960	\$40,838,025	\$37,245,000	\$42,458,197	\$18,795,792	\$39,258,000	\$96,362,204	\$77,131,210	\$27,863,501	\$38,169,638	\$35,540,945
<i>Other discounts</i>	\$0	\$1,317,585	\$5,786,669	\$0	\$0	\$971,000	\$3,658,926	\$0	\$1,958,000	\$6,673,772	\$12,321,639	\$4,805,021	\$5,132,911	\$0
Net scholarships & fellowships	\$14,225,958	\$12,693,325	\$43,964,572	\$0	\$16,999,596	\$9,932,000	\$13,447,448	\$3,888,017	\$19,199,562	\$17,006,440	\$22,115,197	\$104,924,937	\$23,568,118	\$52,208,166

Comparison of Selected Postsecondary Peer Institutions with Wichita State University from the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS)

Dimensions	WSU	Peer type:		WATC	Peer New Mexico State University	Peer University of Massachusetts- Lowell	Peer University of Nevada Reno	Peer University of North Dakota	Peer Wright State University	Aspirational Auburn University	Aspirational Clemson University	Aspirational Oklahoma State University	Aspirational University of Akron	Aspirational University of Texas El Paso
		Peers	Aspirational											
TUITION/FEES & OPERATING CONTRACTS														
Oper rev from disc tuition&grants	\$111,524,322	\$222,744,151	\$330,143,409	\$9,381,514	\$201,845,527	\$189,021,000	\$291,244,200	\$220,331,488	\$211,278,539	\$435,557,916	\$430,238,369	\$351,971,568	\$257,959,052	\$174,990,138
<i>Tuition & fees, after discounts</i>	\$76,220,448	\$120,078,045	\$241,262,903	\$5,940,556	\$56,286,036	\$152,563,000	\$124,420,531	\$128,806,562	\$138,314,095	\$332,881,008	\$300,711,230	\$250,934,451	\$218,423,518	\$103,364,306
<i>Fed operating grants & contracts</i>	\$22,603,939	\$60,307,877	\$53,636,298	\$3,069,428	\$102,390,074	\$23,913,000	\$89,280,296	\$56,672,362	\$29,283,651	\$76,219,101	\$61,883,994	\$64,640,775	\$21,274,696	\$44,162,926
<i>State operating grants & contracts</i>	\$10,697,122	\$11,851,006	\$18,951,542	\$170,201	\$26,827,319	\$1,558,000	\$13,749,535	\$10,888,226	\$6,231,948	\$6,872,332	\$53,189,169	\$6,349,707	\$7,893,162	\$20,453,339
<i>Local/private grants & contracts</i>	\$2,002,813	\$30,507,224	\$16,292,666	\$201,329	\$16,342,098	\$10,987,000	\$63,793,838	\$23,964,338	\$37,448,845	\$19,585,475	\$14,453,976	\$30,046,635	\$10,367,676	\$7,009,567
Oper rev from disc tuition&grants	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<i>Tuition & fees, after discounts</i>	68.3%	53.9%	73.1%	63.3%	27.9%	80.7%	42.7%	58.5%	65.5%	76.4%	69.9%	71.3%	84.7%	59.1%
<i>Fed operating grants & contracts</i>	20.3%	27.1%	16.2%	32.7%	50.7%	12.7%	30.7%	25.7%	13.9%	17.5%	14.4%	18.4%	8.2%	25.2%
<i>State operating grants & contracts</i>	9.6%	5.3%	5.7%	1.8%	13.3%	0.8%	4.7%	4.9%	2.9%	1.6%	12.4%	1.8%	3.1%	11.7%
<i>Local/private grants & contracts</i>	1.8%	13.7%	4.9%	2.1%	8.1%	5.8%	21.9%	10.9%	17.7%	4.5%	3.4%	8.5%	4.0%	4.0%
EXPENSES:														
Total expenses & other deductions	\$261,967,075	\$438,434,954	\$672,234,957	\$25,215,566	\$452,379,150	\$353,367,000	\$578,438,598	\$429,767,226	\$378,222,798	\$855,378,273	\$782,074,599	\$825,300,783	\$490,200,740	\$408,220,392
TOTAL EXPENSES/DEDUCTIONS														
Current year total	\$261,967,075	\$438,434,954	\$672,234,957	\$25,215,566	\$452,379,150	\$353,367,000	\$578,438,598	\$429,767,226	\$378,222,798	\$855,378,273	\$782,074,599	\$825,300,783	\$490,200,740	\$408,220,392
<i>Salaries & wages</i>	\$125,608,749	\$212,339,679	\$282,432,635	\$11,191,294	\$216,442,331	\$168,322,000	\$281,407,002	\$216,691,943	\$178,835,117	\$396,497,112	\$329,510,657	\$310,902,580	\$212,480,811	\$162,772,017
<i>Employee fringe benefits</i>	\$36,443,155	\$59,014,837	\$98,556,221	\$3,055,821	\$63,234,755	\$36,221,000	\$68,156,620	\$72,480,689	\$54,981,121	\$129,943,147	\$115,402,652	\$116,678,106	\$87,209,543	\$43,547,657
<i>Depreciation</i>	\$12,736,612	\$25,132,560	\$47,254,650	\$1,261,909	\$27,403,743	\$23,926,000	\$31,865,707	\$21,384,633	\$21,082,719	\$66,983,140	\$42,974,138	\$59,146,925	\$39,281,873	\$27,887,173
<i>All other</i>	\$86,366,567	\$134,469,682	\$230,665,341	\$9,706,435	\$140,110,993	\$112,587,000	\$185,412,361	\$114,316,201	\$119,921,855	\$248,880,045	\$288,674,877	\$312,257,016	\$129,501,221	\$174,013,545
<i>Interest</i>	\$811,992	\$7,478,196	\$13,326,110	\$107	\$5,187,328	\$12,311,000	\$11,596,908	\$4,893,760	\$3,401,986	\$13,074,829	\$5,512,275	\$26,316,156	\$21,727,292	\$0
% of Total expenses/deductions	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<i>Salaries & wages</i>	47.9%	48.4%	42.0%	44.4%	47.8%	47.6%	48.6%	50.4%	47.3%	46.4%	42.1%	37.7%	43.3%	39.9%
<i>Employee fringe benefits</i>	13.9%	13.5%	14.7%	12.1%	14.0%	10.3%	11.8%	16.9%	14.5%	15.2%	14.8%	14.1%	17.8%	10.7%
<i>Depreciation</i>	4.9%	5.7%	7.0%	5.0%	6.1%	6.8%	5.5%	5.0%	5.6%	7.8%	5.5%	7.2%	8.0%	6.8%
<i>All other</i>	33.0%	30.7%	34.3%	38.5%	31.0%	31.9%	32.1%	26.6%	31.7%	29.1%	36.9%	37.8%	26.4%	42.6%
<i>Interest</i>	0.3%	1.7%	2.0%	0.0%	1.1%	3.5%	2.0%	1.1%	0.9%	1.5%	0.7%	3.2%	4.4%	0.0%
SCHOLARSHIPS & FELLOWSHIPS Expenses														
Schl & Felshp expenses Cur yr total	\$14,225,958	\$12,693,325	\$43,964,572	\$0	\$16,999,596	\$9,932,000	\$13,447,448	\$3,888,017	\$19,199,562	\$17,006,440	\$22,115,197	\$104,924,937	\$23,568,118	\$52,208,166

Comparison of Selected Postsecondary Peer Institutions with Wichita State University from the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS)

Dimensions	WSU	Peer type:		WATC	Peer	Peer	Peer	Peer	Peer	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational
		Peers	Aspirational		New Mexico State University	University of Massachusetts-Lowell	University of Nevada Reno	University of North Dakota	Wright State University	Auburn University	Clemson University	Oklahoma State University	University of Akron	University of Texas El Paso
INSTRUCTION														
Current year total	\$79,989,782	\$170,961,849	\$210,929,793	\$10,673,941	\$127,768,275	\$140,178,000	\$243,065,355	\$210,096,110	\$133,701,506	\$275,621,807	\$254,752,543	\$195,643,233	\$203,615,345	\$125,016,036
Salaries & wages	\$49,769,266	\$101,205,212	\$111,891,807	\$5,286,828	\$74,603,067	\$82,715,000	\$141,679,113	\$114,414,803	\$92,614,075	\$148,024,945	\$130,523,316	\$108,056,932	\$102,326,957	\$70,526,885
Employee fringe benefits	\$12,748,311	\$26,651,693	\$41,603,413	\$1,339,752	\$21,066,656	\$17,479,000	\$32,506,819	\$37,135,331	\$25,070,657	\$48,378,640	\$51,874,271	\$38,209,972	\$49,569,054	\$19,985,126
Depreciation	\$4,121,687	\$9,641,085	\$15,821,708	\$612,544	\$8,499,260	\$9,807,000	\$15,382,072	\$11,207,298	\$3,309,796	\$22,021,272	\$14,416,951	\$12,319,007	\$17,212,514	\$13,138,795
All other	\$4,944,042	\$18,937,455	\$21,039,874	\$2,230,232	\$12,033,634	\$11,009,000	\$34,264,060	\$29,760,398	\$7,620,184	\$33,512,615	\$34,579,169	\$13,545,579	\$14,656,601	\$8,905,407
Operations & maintenance of plant	\$7,779,202	\$11,869,095	\$16,379,176	\$1,204,558	\$9,956,810	\$14,122,000	\$13,635,284	\$17,088,904	\$4,542,476	\$20,053,455	\$21,509,580	\$17,543,258	\$10,329,763	\$12,459,823
Interest	\$627,274	\$2,657,310	\$4,193,815	\$27	\$1,608,848	\$5,046,000	\$5,598,007	\$489,376	\$544,318	\$3,630,880	\$1,849,256	\$5,968,485	\$9,520,456	\$0
percent of Total Instruction	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Salaries & wages	62.2%	59.2%	53.0%	49.5%	58.4%	59.0%	58.3%	54.5%	69.3%	53.7%	51.2%	55.2%	50.3%	56.4%
Employee fringe benefits	15.9%	15.6%	19.7%	12.6%	16.5%	12.5%	13.4%	17.7%	18.8%	17.6%	20.4%	19.5%	24.3%	16.0%
Depreciation	5.2%	5.6%	7.5%	5.7%	6.7%	7.0%	6.3%	5.3%	2.5%	8.0%	5.7%	6.3%	8.5%	10.5%
All other	6.2%	11.1%	10.0%	20.9%	9.4%	7.9%	14.1%	14.2%	5.7%	12.2%	13.6%	6.9%	7.2%	7.1%
Operations & maintenance of plant	9.7%	6.9%	7.8%	11.3%	7.8%	10.1%	5.6%	8.1%	3.4%	7.3%	8.4%	9.0%	5.1%	10.0%
Interest	0.8%	1.6%	2.0%	0.0%	1.3%	3.6%	2.3%	0.2%	0.4%	1.3%	0.7%	3.1%	4.7%	0.0%
ACADEMIC SUPPORT														
Current year total	\$30,342,350	\$37,871,883	\$51,969,925	\$5,843,661	\$22,432,068	\$32,916,000	\$47,216,972	\$35,181,627	\$51,612,749	\$74,346,577	\$49,583,384	\$68,350,695	\$42,859,713	\$24,709,257
Salaries & wages	\$13,721,511	\$17,101,373	\$23,603,426	\$2,283,900	\$11,544,462	\$12,649,000	\$21,002,385	\$19,327,263	\$20,983,756	\$35,394,036	\$23,300,011	\$27,611,580	\$20,038,409	\$11,673,095
Employee fringe benefits	\$4,200,413	\$5,018,794	\$7,759,425	\$679,979	\$3,505,686	\$2,815,000	\$5,770,255	\$6,090,869	\$6,912,160	\$10,565,476	\$6,880,126	\$11,523,582	\$6,387,412	\$3,440,529
Depreciation	\$1,575,828	\$2,592,525	\$3,973,895	\$264,618	\$1,492,201	\$2,303,000	\$2,597,985	\$1,879,699	\$4,689,742	\$5,940,046	\$2,806,022	\$5,306,377	\$3,623,123	\$2,193,905
All other	\$7,870,407	\$9,189,059	\$11,843,535	\$2,094,786	\$3,859,155	\$10,648,000	\$14,606,914	\$5,017,629	\$11,813,599	\$11,359,645	\$12,050,813	\$21,853,595	\$8,632,427	\$5,321,197
Operations & maintenance of plant	\$2,974,191	\$3,337,854	\$3,972,371	\$520,367	\$1,748,101	\$3,316,000	\$2,293,947	\$2,866,167	\$6,465,055	\$9,387,646	\$4,186,485	\$2,032,847	\$2,174,348	\$2,080,531
Interest	\$0	\$632,277	\$817,273	\$11	\$282,463	\$1,185,000	\$945,486	\$0	\$748,437	\$1,699,728	\$359,927	\$22,714	\$2,003,994	\$0
percent of Total Academic support	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Salaries & wages	45.2%	45.2%	45.4%	39.1%	51.5%	38.4%	44.5%	54.9%	40.7%	47.6%	47.0%	40.4%	46.8%	47.2%
Employee fringe benefits	13.8%	13.3%	14.9%	11.6%	15.6%	8.6%	12.2%	17.3%	13.4%	14.2%	13.9%	16.9%	14.9%	13.9%
Depreciation	5.2%	6.8%	7.6%	4.5%	6.7%	7.0%	5.5%	5.3%	9.1%	8.0%	5.7%	7.8%	8.5%	8.9%
All other	25.9%	24.3%	22.8%	35.8%	17.2%	32.3%	30.9%	14.3%	22.9%	15.3%	24.3%	32.0%	20.1%	21.5%
Operations & maintenance of plant	9.8%	8.8%	7.6%	8.9%	7.8%	10.1%	4.9%	8.1%	12.5%	12.6%	8.4%	3.0%	5.1%	8.4%
Interest	0.0%	1.7%	1.6%	0.0%	1.3%	3.6%	2.0%	0.0%	1.5%	2.3%	0.7%	0.0%	4.7%	0.0%

Comparison of Selected Postsecondary Peer Institutions with Wichita State University from the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS)

Dimensions	WSU	Peer type:		WATC	Peer New Mexico State University	Peer University of Massachusetts- Lowell	Peer University of Nevada Reno	Peer University of North Dakota	Peer Wright State University	Aspirational Auburn University	Aspirational Clemson University	Aspirational Oklahoma State University	Aspirational University of Akron	Aspirational University of Texas El Paso
		Peers	Aspirational											
STUDENT SERVICES														
Current year total	\$28,717,111	\$31,500,855	\$27,096,929	\$3,663,517	\$17,515,448	\$34,077,000	\$45,616,034	\$40,248,298	\$20,047,497	\$31,252,089	\$41,771,963	\$24,830,028	\$17,018,246	\$20,612,320
Salaries & wages	\$10,598,998	\$14,315,756	\$12,391,977	\$1,767,915	\$8,176,439	\$15,288,000	\$21,234,925	\$15,670,580	\$11,208,835	\$13,333,602	\$17,052,103	\$14,310,835	\$7,038,053	\$10,225,293
Employee fringe benefits	\$3,438,692	\$3,964,628	\$3,727,683	\$510,810	\$2,365,143	\$3,402,000	\$4,731,228	\$5,540,699	\$3,784,069	\$3,274,064	\$4,912,656	\$5,228,246	\$2,618,972	\$2,604,476
Depreciation	\$1,491,421	\$1,663,220	\$1,790,583	\$204,835	\$1,165,143	\$2,384,000	\$2,171,976	\$1,568,597	\$1,026,382	\$2,496,939	\$2,363,958	\$791,011	\$1,438,628	\$1,862,379
All other	\$10,373,116	\$8,967,387	\$6,656,943	\$777,100	\$4,223,215	\$8,343,000	\$14,769,663	\$15,076,622	\$2,424,436	\$7,456,672	\$13,613,080	\$3,797,424	\$4,263,505	\$4,154,035
Operations & maintenance of plant	\$2,814,884	\$2,108,245	\$2,158,576	\$402,804	\$1,364,955	\$3,433,000	\$1,917,793	\$2,391,800	\$1,433,676	\$3,971,696	\$3,526,942	\$664,738	\$863,365	\$1,766,137
Interest	\$0	\$481,620	\$371,167	\$53	\$220,553	\$1,227,000	\$790,449	\$0	\$170,099	\$719,116	\$303,224	\$37,774	\$795,723	\$0
percent of Total Student Services	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Salaries & wages	36.9%	45.4%	45.7%	48.3%	46.7%	44.9%	46.6%	38.9%	55.9%	42.7%	40.8%	57.6%	41.4%	49.6%
Employee fringe benefits	12.0%	12.6%	13.8%	13.9%	13.5%	10.0%	10.4%	13.8%	18.9%	10.5%	11.8%	21.1%	15.4%	12.6%
Depreciation	5.2%	5.3%	6.6%	5.6%	6.7%	7.0%	4.8%	3.9%	5.1%	8.0%	5.7%	3.2%	8.5%	9.0%
All other	36.1%	28.5%	24.6%	21.2%	24.1%	24.5%	32.4%	37.5%	12.1%	23.9%	32.6%	15.3%	25.1%	20.2%
Operations & maintenance of plant	9.8%	6.7%	8.0%	11.0%	7.8%	10.1%	4.2%	5.9%	7.2%	12.7%	8.4%	2.7%	5.1%	8.6%
Interest	0.0%	1.5%	1.4%	0.0%	1.3%	3.6%	1.7%	0.0%	0.8%	2.3%	0.7%	0.2%	4.7%	0.0%
INSTITUTIONAL SUPPORT														
Current year total	\$25,461,584	\$36,825,989	\$47,987,058	\$3,168,948	\$25,356,703	\$54,484,000	\$37,528,559	\$32,644,188	\$34,116,496	\$66,112,020	\$44,637,846	\$30,614,005	\$66,737,673	\$31,833,745
Salaries & wages	\$9,281,909	\$17,238,167	\$24,300,814	\$1,342,076	\$12,689,635	\$18,994,000	\$20,881,048	\$15,909,588	\$17,716,564	\$43,325,562	\$21,709,168	\$10,418,469	\$31,344,975	\$14,705,894
Employee fringe benefits	\$2,643,644	\$5,159,252	\$8,115,933	\$379,368	\$4,341,419	\$5,502,000	\$6,432,867	\$2,754,952	\$6,765,020	\$11,447,556	\$6,859,687	\$5,773,725	\$11,701,828	\$4,796,867
Depreciation	\$1,322,346	\$2,318,490	\$3,685,197	\$155,496	\$1,686,751	\$3,812,000	\$2,747,904	\$1,380,263	\$1,965,534	\$5,282,132	\$2,526,144	\$2,145,040	\$5,641,633	\$2,831,036
All other	\$9,717,912	\$8,329,913	\$8,682,614	\$986,217	\$4,343,594	\$18,726,000	\$4,040,373	\$10,072,314	\$4,467,286	\$4,240,146	\$9,449,903	\$11,364,751	\$11,543,061	\$6,815,211
Operations & maintenance of plant	\$2,495,773	\$2,971,571	\$2,457,861	\$305,780	\$1,976,014	\$5,489,000	\$2,426,321	\$2,104,627	\$2,861,893	\$1,538,130	\$3,768,917	\$911,802	\$3,385,719	\$2,684,737
Interest	\$0	\$808,596	\$744,639	\$11	\$319,290	\$1,961,000	\$1,000,046	\$422,444	\$340,199	\$278,494	\$324,027	\$218	\$3,120,457	\$0
percent of Total Institutional Support	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Salaries & wages	36.5%	46.8%	50.6%	42.4%	50.0%	34.9%	55.6%	48.7%	51.9%	65.5%	48.6%	34.0%	47.0%	46.2%
Employee fringe benefits	10.4%	14.0%	16.9%	12.0%	17.1%	10.1%	17.1%	8.4%	19.8%	17.3%	15.4%	18.9%	17.5%	15.1%
Depreciation	5.2%	6.3%	7.7%	4.9%	6.7%	7.0%	7.3%	4.2%	5.8%	8.0%	5.7%	7.0%	8.5%	8.9%
All other	38.2%	22.6%	18.1%	31.1%	17.1%	34.4%	10.8%	30.9%	13.1%	6.4%	21.2%	37.1%	17.3%	21.4%
Operations & maintenance of plant	9.8%	8.1%	5.1%	9.6%	7.8%	10.1%	6.5%	6.4%	8.4%	2.3%	8.4%	3.0%	5.1%	8.4%
Interest	0.0%	2.2%	1.6%	0.0%	1.3%	3.6%	2.7%	1.3%	1.0%	0.4%	0.7%	0.0%	4.7%	0.0%

Comparison of Selected Postsecondary Peer Institutions with Wichita State University from the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS)

Dimensions	WSU	Peer type:		WATC	Peer	Peer	Peer	Peer	Peer	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational
		Peers	Aspirational		New Mexico State University	University of Massachusetts-Lowell	University of Nevada Reno	University of North Dakota	Wright State University	Auburn University	Clemson University	Oklahoma State University	University of Akron	University of Texas El Paso
RESEARCH														
Current year total	\$49,143,757	\$67,511,503	\$106,259,436	\$0	\$123,625,717	\$46,167,000	\$85,223,782	\$50,088,115	\$32,452,899	\$130,397,415	\$156,816,415	\$127,090,398	\$43,442,569	\$73,550,383
Salaries & wages	\$19,652,821	\$27,191,554	\$43,834,177	\$0	\$46,175,269	\$21,464,000	\$34,158,603	\$20,152,405	\$14,007,494	\$53,595,099	\$61,664,748	\$59,121,450	\$17,207,222	\$27,582,367
Employee fringe benefits	\$4,541,373	\$6,926,809	\$15,872,301	\$0	\$13,407,188	\$3,165,000	\$6,596,885	\$8,127,437	\$3,337,534	\$23,680,452	\$23,624,846	\$21,634,346	\$5,352,989	\$5,068,873
Depreciation	\$2,552,278	\$4,085,119	\$7,019,190	\$0	\$8,223,694	\$3,230,000	\$3,873,487	\$2,091,326	\$3,007,090	\$10,418,323	\$8,874,552	\$7,535,663	\$3,527,732	\$4,739,679
All other	\$17,580,158	\$23,257,354	\$30,833,064	\$0	\$44,628,895	\$11,995,000	\$35,764,947	\$16,345,560	\$7,552,366	\$32,187,594	\$48,273,418	\$28,753,297	\$13,286,293	\$31,664,716
Operations & maintenance of plant	\$4,817,127	\$4,993,232	\$7,042,573	\$0	\$9,633,986	\$4,651,000	\$3,420,179	\$3,188,856	\$4,072,137	\$8,903,821	\$13,240,516	\$6,456,680	\$2,117,101	\$4,494,748
Interest	\$0	\$1,057,435	\$1,658,131		\$1,556,685	\$1,662,000	\$1,409,681	\$182,531	\$476,278	\$1,612,126	\$1,138,335	\$3,588,962	\$1,951,232	\$0
percent of Total Research	100%	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Salaries & wages	40.0%	40.3%	41.3%	0.0%	37.4%	46.5%	40.1%	40.2%	43.2%	41.1%	39.3%	46.5%	39.6%	37.5%
Employee fringe benefits	9.2%	10.3%	14.9%	0.0%	10.8%	6.9%	7.7%	16.2%	10.3%	18.2%	15.1%	17.0%	12.3%	6.9%
Depreciation	5.2%	6.1%	6.6%	0.0%	6.7%	7.0%	4.5%	4.2%	9.3%	8.0%	5.7%	5.9%	8.1%	6.4%
All other	35.8%	34.4%	29.0%	0.0%	36.1%	26.0%	42.0%	32.6%	23.3%	24.7%	30.8%	22.6%	30.6%	43.1%
Operations & maintenance of plant	9.8%	7.4%	6.6%	0.0%	7.8%	10.1%	4.0%	6.4%	12.5%	6.8%	8.4%	5.1%	4.9%	6.1%
Interest	0.0%	1.6%	1.6%		1.3%	3.6%	1.7%	0.4%	1.5%	1.2%	0.7%	2.8%	4.5%	0.0%
PUBLIC SERVICE														
Current year total	\$24,524,124	\$27,827,707	\$53,348,868	\$0	\$48,713,506	\$1,046,000	\$50,411,525	\$22,426,207	\$16,541,298	\$100,226,379	\$74,605,226	\$68,986,447	\$11,587,193	\$11,339,094
Salaries & wages	\$11,623,069	\$12,855,608	\$23,396,508	\$0	\$22,407,574	\$736,000	\$22,389,466	\$10,465,899	\$8,279,099	\$40,929,857	\$30,366,939	\$37,459,513	\$3,805,050	\$4,421,180
Employee fringe benefits	\$3,077,980	\$3,825,351	\$9,058,086	\$0	\$6,272,645	\$86,000	\$6,374,500	\$3,688,903	\$2,704,708	\$16,045,854	\$9,508,250	\$17,661,994	\$1,102,910	\$971,423
Depreciation	\$1,273,659	\$1,616,629	\$3,100,222	\$0	\$3,240,466	\$73,000	\$2,648,957	\$1,046,763	\$1,073,961	\$8,007,756	\$4,222,058	\$1,508,985	\$979,517	\$782,794
All other	\$6,145,534	\$7,318,320	\$15,434,319	\$0	\$12,383,246	\$8,000	\$15,695,612	\$5,628,536	\$2,876,206	\$33,434,818	\$23,667,257	\$11,078,070	\$4,570,094	\$4,421,355
Operations & maintenance of plant	\$2,403,882	\$1,854,692	\$2,087,627	\$0	\$3,796,178	\$105,000	\$2,338,953	\$1,596,106	\$1,437,225	\$1,530,908	\$6,299,160	\$1,277,885	\$587,839	\$742,342
Interest	\$0	\$357,107	\$272,106		\$613,397	\$38,000	\$964,037	\$0	\$170,099	\$277,186	\$541,562	\$0	\$541,783	\$0
percent of Total Public Service	100%	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Salaries & wages	47.4%	46.2%	43.9%	0.0%	46.0%	70.4%	44.4%	46.7%	50.1%	40.8%	40.7%	54.3%	32.8%	39.0%
Employee fringe benefits	12.6%	13.7%	17.0%	0.0%	12.9%	8.2%	12.6%	16.4%	16.4%	16.0%	12.7%	25.6%	9.5%	8.6%
Depreciation	5.2%	5.8%	5.8%	0.0%	6.7%	7.0%	5.3%	4.7%	6.5%	8.0%	5.7%	2.2%	8.5%	6.9%
All other	25.1%	26.3%	28.9%	0.0%	25.4%	0.8%	31.1%	25.1%	17.4%	33.4%	31.7%	16.1%	39.4%	39.0%
Operations & maintenance of plant	9.8%	6.7%	3.9%	0.0%	7.8%	10.0%	4.6%	7.1%	8.7%	1.5%	8.4%	1.9%	5.1%	6.5%
Interest	0.0%	1.3%	0.5%		1.3%	3.6%	1.9%	0.0%	1.0%	0.3%	0.7%	0.0%	4.7%	0.0%

Comparison of Selected Postsecondary Peer Institutions with Wichita State University from the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS)

Dimensions	WSU	Peer type:		WATC	Peer New Mexico State University	Peer University of Massachusetts- Lowell	Peer University of Nevada Reno	Peer University of North Dakota	Peer Wright State University	Aspirational Auburn University	Aspirational Clemson University	Aspirational Oklahoma State University	Aspirational University of Akron	Aspirational University of Texas El Paso
		Peers	Aspirational											
AUXILIARY ENTERPRISES (parking, housing)														
Current year total	\$7,874,984	\$31,965,180	\$125,657,183	\$1,865,499	\$27,834,154	\$33,122,000	\$42,622,209	\$35,194,664	\$21,052,874	\$160,415,545	\$137,200,581	\$204,861,040	\$81,135,265	\$44,673,482
Salaries & wages	\$1,385,526	\$7,393,864	\$31,440,263	\$210,734	\$8,692,492	\$2,910,000	\$8,975,882	\$9,564,618	\$6,826,327	\$41,322,734	\$34,976,063	\$46,049,476	\$22,003,855	\$12,849,188
Employee fringe benefits	\$644,634	\$2,236,439	\$8,403,871	\$60,956	\$2,175,916	\$498,000	\$2,358,420	\$3,726,572	\$2,423,289	\$10,245,376	\$8,816,019	\$13,118,362	\$6,578,476	\$3,261,124
Depreciation	\$399,393	\$1,750,826	\$10,510,113	\$24,416	\$1,851,553	\$2,317,000	\$1,025,172	\$982,898	\$2,577,505	\$12,816,672	\$7,764,453	\$22,772,129	\$6,858,726	\$2,338,585
All other	\$4,506,904	\$17,461,158	\$56,934,550	\$1,521,374	\$12,594,630	\$22,868,000	\$28,984,446	\$17,121,167	\$5,737,549	\$64,346,462	\$73,063,814	\$85,471,195	\$37,784,427	\$24,006,850
Operations & maintenance of plant	\$753,809	\$1,905,052	\$13,441,136	\$48,014	\$2,169,078	\$3,337,000	\$905,197	\$0	\$3,113,986	\$26,827,002	\$11,584,288	\$22,460,520	\$4,116,134	\$2,217,735
Interest	\$184,718	\$1,217,841	\$4,927,250	\$5	\$350,485	\$1,192,000	\$373,092	\$3,799,409	\$374,218	\$4,857,299	\$995,944	\$14,989,358	\$3,793,647	\$0
% of Total Auxiliary Enterprises	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Salaries & wages	17.6%	23.1%	25.0%	11.3%	31.2%	8.8%	21.1%	27.2%	32.4%	25.8%	25.5%	22.5%	27.1%	28.8%
Employee fringe benefits	8.2%	7.0%	6.7%	3.3%	7.8%	1.5%	5.5%	10.6%	11.5%	6.4%	6.4%	6.4%	8.1%	7.3%
Depreciation	5.1%	5.5%	8.4%	1.3%	6.7%	7.0%	2.4%	2.8%	12.2%	8.0%	5.7%	11.1%	8.5%	5.2%
All other	57.2%	54.6%	45.3%	81.6%	45.2%	69.0%	68.0%	48.6%	27.3%	40.1%	53.3%	41.7%	46.6%	53.7%
Operations & maintenance of plant	9.6%	6.0%	10.7%	2.6%	7.8%	10.1%	2.1%	0.0%	14.8%	16.7%	8.4%	11.0%	5.1%	5.0%
Interest	2.3%	3.8%	3.9%	0.0%	1.3%	3.6%	0.9%	10.8%	1.8%	3.0%	0.7%	7.3%	4.7%	0.0%
OTHER EXPENSES														
Current year total	\$1,687,425	\$17,534,456	\$5,021,194	\$0	\$23,422,648	\$1,445,000	\$13,306,714	\$0	\$49,497,917	\$1	\$591,444	\$0	\$236,618	\$24,277,909
Salaries & wages	\$105,920	\$3,375,494	\$0	\$0	\$16,823,282	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$54,190	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Employee fringe benefits	\$150,738	\$1,026,731	\$0	\$0	\$5,131,202	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,455	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Depreciation	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
All other	\$1,430,767	\$13,132,230	\$5,021,194	\$0	\$1,468,164	\$1,445,000	\$13,306,714	\$0	\$49,441,272	\$1	\$591,444	\$0	\$236,618	\$24,277,909
Operations & maintenance of plant	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Interest	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
percent of Total Other Expenses	100%	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Salaries & wages	6.3%	19.3%	0.0%	0.0%	71.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Employee fringe benefits	8.9%	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%	21.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Depreciation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
All other	84.8%	74.9%	100.0%	0.0%	6.3%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	99.9%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Operations & maintenance of plant	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE OF PLANT														
Current year total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Salaries & wages	\$9,469,729	\$10,334,957	\$11,573,663	\$299,841	\$8,691,641	\$13,566,000	\$11,085,580	\$11,186,787	\$7,144,777	\$20,571,277	\$9,918,309	\$7,874,325	\$8,716,290	\$10,788,115
Employee fringe benefits	\$4,997,370	\$3,802,741	\$4,015,509	\$84,956	\$2,956,906	\$3,274,000	\$3,385,646	\$5,415,926	\$3,981,229	\$6,305,729	\$2,926,797	\$3,527,879	\$3,897,902	\$3,419,239
Depreciation	\$0	\$1,215,730	\$1,353,743	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,418,154	\$1,227,789	\$3,432,709	\$0	\$0	\$6,768,713	\$0	\$0
All other	\$9,571,769	\$13,759,048	\$30,254,676	\$2,096,726	\$20,454,702	\$17,613,000	\$10,532,184	\$11,405,958	\$8,789,395	\$45,335,652	\$51,270,782	\$31,468,168	\$10,960,077	\$12,238,699
Operation & maintenance of plant	-\$24,038,868	-\$29,331,366	-\$47,539,320	-\$2,481,523	-\$32,103,249	-\$34,453,000	-\$26,937,674	-\$29,236,460	-\$23,926,448	-\$72,212,658	-\$64,115,888	-\$51,347,730	-\$23,574,269	-\$26,446,053
Interest	\$0	\$218,890	\$341,729	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$516,110	\$0	\$578,338	\$0	\$0	\$1,708,645	\$0	\$0

Comparison of Selected Postsecondary Peer Institutions with Wichita State University from the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS)

Dimensions	WSU	Peer type:		WATC	Peer New Mexico State University	Peer University of Massachusetts- Lowell	Peer University of Nevada Reno	Peer University of North Dakota	Peer Wright State University	Aspirational Auburn University	Aspirational Clemson University	Aspirational Oklahoma State University	Aspirational University of Akron	Aspirational University of Texas El Paso
		Peers	Aspirational											
ASSETS:														
Net assets end of year	\$239,029,522	\$484,604,371	\$1,011,774,192	\$10,803,989	\$593,223,194	\$303,832,000	\$691,329,970	\$444,668,470	\$389,968,220	\$1,593,617,061	\$1,156,424,610	\$949,216,162	\$495,891,328	\$863,721,801
ASSETS (Net Assets + Liabilities)														
Total assets	\$319,902,141	\$793,290,774	\$1,581,662,915	\$14,145,354	\$837,109,967	\$854,953,000	\$1,080,335,085	\$623,230,793	\$570,825,026	\$2,688,477,475	\$1,462,554,843	\$1,675,158,015	\$1,084,055,380	\$998,068,861
Total current assets	\$102,724,607	\$122,560,064	\$276,700,009	\$10,524,433	\$93,003,958	\$79,505,000	\$187,371,451	\$146,727,411	\$106,192,502	\$306,426,814	\$434,972,321	\$276,606,058	\$201,936,668	\$163,558,186
Depreciable cap assets, net of depr	\$212,348,959	\$461,433,890	\$941,880,036	\$3,620,921	\$494,239,707	\$407,937,000	\$688,216,662	\$387,930,688	\$328,845,392	\$1,405,463,164	\$791,664,280	\$1,272,898,438	\$727,460,694	\$511,913,602
Other noncurrent assets	\$4,828,575	\$209,296,820	\$363,082,870	\$0	\$249,866,302	\$367,511,000	\$204,746,972	\$88,572,694	\$135,787,132	\$976,587,497	\$235,918,242	\$125,653,519	\$154,658,018	\$322,597,073
NET ASSETS														
Total net assets	\$239,029,522	\$484,604,371	\$1,011,774,192	\$10,803,989	\$593,223,194	\$303,832,000	\$691,329,970	\$444,668,470	\$389,968,220	\$1,593,617,061	\$1,156,424,610	\$949,216,162	\$495,891,328	\$863,721,801
Invest cap assets, net of reit debt	\$172,777,541	\$309,040,267	\$596,317,376	\$3,120,921	\$335,008,169	\$199,226,000	\$454,474,792	\$285,648,784	\$270,843,590	\$809,019,729	\$639,236,201	\$726,384,916	\$295,032,434	\$511,913,602
Restricted-expendable	\$17,162,834	\$47,509,106	\$149,606,642	\$375,693	\$28,650,149	\$20,485,000	\$119,749,424	\$51,309,939	\$17,351,019	\$136,344,045	\$343,997,103	\$30,381,001	\$74,226,245	\$163,084,815
Restricted-nonexpendable	\$0	\$29,774,201	\$43,747,836	\$900,000	\$91,694,191	\$3,957,000	\$38,649,315	\$14,570,498	\$0	\$23,033,149	\$58,240,694	\$517,744	\$24,092,810	\$112,854,784
Unrestricted	\$49,089,147	\$98,280,797	\$222,102,338	\$6,407,375	\$137,870,685	\$80,164,000	\$78,456,439	\$93,139,249	\$101,773,611	\$625,220,138	\$114,950,612	\$191,932,501	\$102,539,839	\$75,868,600
Adjustments to beginning net assets	-\$1	-\$609,978	-\$162,591	-\$2,175	\$0	\$730,000	\$0	-\$3,779,890	\$0	\$0	-\$812,955	\$0	\$0	\$0
Net assets beginning of year	\$234,514,258	\$467,930,454	\$975,661,228	\$9,388,919	\$572,855,400	\$285,811,000	\$673,710,031	\$414,036,698	\$393,239,142	\$1,527,478,578	\$1,117,182,101	\$915,014,495	\$488,165,151	\$830,465,813
Change in net assets during year	\$4,515,265	\$17,283,895	\$36,275,556	\$1,417,245	\$20,367,794	\$17,291,000	\$17,619,939	\$34,411,662	-\$3,270,922	\$66,138,483	\$40,055,464	\$34,201,667	\$7,726,177	\$33,255,988
LIABILITIES														
Total liabilities	\$80,872,619	\$324,886,311	\$438,645,800	\$3,341,365	\$243,886,773	\$551,121,000	\$389,005,115	\$178,562,323	\$180,856,806	\$1,094,860,414	\$306,130,233	\$725,941,853	\$588,164,052	\$134,347,060
Long-term debt, current portion	\$4,050,142	\$20,830,981	\$18,922,896	\$500,000	\$9,029,744	\$54,239,000	\$9,868,820	\$6,379,074	\$12,837,028	\$28,490,201	\$32,885,114	\$21,925,759	\$20,880,711	\$0
Other current liabilities	\$31,910,014	\$62,755,665	\$84,194,805	\$2,516,753	\$51,596,730	\$66,545,000	\$88,973,720	\$39,708,216	\$55,795,723	\$286,486,012	\$81,671,652	\$66,924,318	\$60,545,491	\$127,637,760
Long-term debt noncurrent	\$38,039,463	\$232,243,521	\$263,927,259	\$0	\$141,908,397	\$411,125,000	\$278,074,356	\$129,242,586	\$110,532,140	\$715,648,582	\$184,008,017	\$376,735,000	\$494,966,018	\$0
Other noncurrent liabilities	\$6,873,000	\$9,056,145	\$71,600,840	\$324,612	\$41,351,902	\$19,212,000	\$12,088,219	\$3,232,447	\$1,691,915	\$64,235,619	\$7,565,450	\$260,356,776	\$11,771,832	\$6,709,300
OTHER:														
PLANT, PROPERTY, EQUIPMENT (ending balances)														
Total plant, prop & equip	\$378,512,510	\$852,944,083	\$1,519,383,463	\$12,481,928	\$1,003,206,345	\$832,607,000	\$1,108,680,802	\$705,126,444	\$615,099,825	\$2,257,835,553	\$1,338,070,005	\$2,016,319,776	\$1,190,692,718	\$793,999,261
Improvements	\$13,589,419	\$46,403,192	\$83,151,060	\$0	\$10,696,079	\$16,536,000	\$79,083,875	\$92,015,613	\$33,684,395	\$117,049,532	\$83,366,563	\$108,695,643	\$89,141,214	\$17,502,349
Infrastructure	\$18,509,857	\$20,243,425	\$78,662,207	\$0	\$74,498,509	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$26,718,616	\$213,015,773	\$0	\$103,371,651	\$46,414,969	\$30,508,644
Buildings	\$234,700,206	\$571,996,560	\$1,070,863,419	\$1,396,405	\$677,194,196	\$572,049,000	\$824,365,831	\$397,836,544	\$388,537,227	\$1,517,948,202	\$912,229,246	\$1,409,345,493	\$927,985,313	\$586,808,840
Equip, incl art & library collections	\$79,082,679	\$163,801,669	\$258,105,184	\$11,081,365	\$215,424,918	\$93,749,000	\$183,126,936	\$189,863,498	\$136,843,993	\$391,546,958	\$319,272,348	\$330,919,195	\$123,567,181	\$125,220,239
Construction in progress	\$32,630,349	\$50,499,237	\$28,601,592	\$4,158	\$25,392,643	\$150,273,000	\$22,104,160	\$25,410,789	\$29,315,594	\$18,275,088	\$23,201,848	\$63,987,794	\$3,584,041	\$33,959,189
DEPRECIATION (ending balances)														
Accumulated depreciation	\$176,367,245	\$357,856,605	\$569,605,859	\$8,954,864	\$508,966,635	\$257,861,000	\$422,543,386	\$317,709,272	\$282,202,731	\$807,061,761	\$546,405,725	\$743,421,338	\$463,232,024	\$287,908,445
Intangible assets, net accum amort	\$184,927	\$518,552	\$1,164,557	\$93,857	\$0	\$0	\$2,079,244	\$513,515	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,822,786
Other capital assets	\$6,145,570	\$0	\$448,635	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,243,175	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ENDOWMENTS														
Value assets beginning FY	\$212,178,028	\$99,766,578	\$367,527,102	\$900,000	\$98,353,120	\$60,176,000	\$218,207,734	\$13,505,465	\$108,590,573	\$526,128,048	\$518,605,638	\$389,521,545	\$206,921,969	\$196,458,309
Value assets ending FY	\$259,690,837	\$118,828,230	\$462,311,649	\$1,062,118	\$109,656,187	\$71,634,000	\$277,619,311	\$14,570,498	\$120,661,156	\$593,270,763	\$836,151,997	\$422,325,422	\$238,791,525	\$221,018,536
Increase over year	\$47,512,809	\$19,061,652	\$94,784,547	\$162,118	\$11,303,067	\$11,458,000	\$59,411,577	\$1,065,033	\$12,070,583	\$67,142,715	\$317,546,359	\$32,803,877	\$31,869,556	\$24,560,227

Comparison of Selected Postsecondary Peer Institutions with Wichita State University from the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS)

Dimensions	WSU	Peer type:		WATC	Peer New Mexico State University	Peer University of Massachusetts- Lowell	Peer University of Nevada Reno	Peer University of North Dakota	Peer Wright State University	Aspirational Auburn University	Aspirational Clemson University	Aspirational Oklahoma State University	Aspirational University of Akron	Aspirational University of Texas El Paso
		Peers	Aspirational											
Section 2: Employment Related (multiple time periods reported-- see data descriptions)														
Number of employees on the payroll of the institution as of November 1 , by standard occupational code (SOC). Fall 2014.														
Total Full-time & Part-time	2,458	2,939	4,635	457	3,599	2,071	3,376	2,773	2,874	6,077	4,900	5,853	3,507	2,836
<i>Full-time</i>	1,961	2,485	3,624	178	3,183	1,550	2,692	2,610	2,391	4,830	3,762	4,939	2,346	2,245
<i>Part-time</i>	497	453	1,010	279	416	521	684	163	483	1,247	1,138	914	1,161	591
Percent full-time	79.8%	84.6%	78.2%	38.9%	88.4%	74.8%	79.7%	94.1%	83.2%	79.5%	76.8%	84.4%	66.9%	79.2%
Total Full-time & Part-time	2,458	2,939	4,635	457	3,599	2,071	3,376	2,773	2,874	6,077	4,900	5,853	3,507	2,836
<i>Instructional, research, librarian, publ serv staff</i>	573	1,133	1,461	313	1,135	1,094	1,486	861	1,088	1,665	1,388	1,570	1,627	1,055
<i>Archivists, Curators, & Museum Technicians</i>	0	2	7	0	3	0	2	0	6	12	13	1	6	2
<i>Library Technicians</i>	0	10	34	2	9	0	1	16	22	31	45	35	28	30
<i>Student & Academic Affairs & Other Educ Serv</i>	297	26	148	52	65	11	0	42	10	258	242	0	194	46
<i>Management</i>	261	177	234	15	129	328	119	160	148	386	208	116	126	334
<i>Business & Financial Operations</i>	151	197	325	28	373	82	317	90	124	403	402	407	180	235
<i>Computer, Engineering, & Science</i>	333	304	586	18	487	128	285	283	339	826	796	884	213	211
<i>Comm, Soc Serv, Legal, Arts, Sports & Media</i>	208	176	331	3	194	91	197	243	156	517	255	451	231	203
<i>Healthcare Practioners & Technical</i>	31	40	75	0	53	5	49	91	1	137	69	103	37	27
<i>Service Occupations</i>	231	213	447	16	215	148	174	248	279	556	364	598	441	274
<i>Sales & Related Occupations</i>	0	4	13	0	7	0	10	0	2	15	4	29	17	0
<i>Office & Administrative Support</i>	312	482	683	10	653	162	582	500	515	1,004	704	1,122	279	305
<i>Natural Resources, Construction, & Maintenance</i>	55	118	228	0	209	19	140	72	149	206	389	370	88	87
<i>Production, Transportation, & Material Moving</i>	6	57	63	0	67	3	14	167	35	61	21	167	40	27
percent of Total Full-time Part-time	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<i>Instructional, research, librarian, publ serv staff</i>	23.3%	38.5%	31.5%	68.5%	31.5%	52.8%	44.0%	31.0%	37.9%	27.4%	28.3%	26.8%	46.4%	37.2%
<i>Archivists, Curators, & Museum Technicians</i>	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%
<i>Library Technicians</i>	0.0%	0.3%	0.7%	0.4%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.8%	0.5%	0.9%	0.6%	0.8%	1.1%
<i>Student & Academic Affairs & Other Educ Serv</i>	12.1%	0.9%	3.2%	11.4%	1.8%	0.5%	0.0%	1.5%	0.3%	4.2%	4.9%	0.0%	5.5%	1.6%
<i>Management</i>	10.6%	6.0%	5.0%	3.3%	3.6%	15.8%	3.5%	5.8%	5.1%	6.4%	4.2%	2.0%	3.6%	11.8%
<i>Business & Financial Operations</i>	6.1%	6.7%	7.0%	6.1%	10.4%	4.0%	9.4%	3.2%	4.3%	6.6%	8.2%	7.0%	5.1%	8.3%
<i>Computer, Engineering, & Science</i>	13.5%	10.4%	12.6%	3.9%	13.5%	6.2%	8.4%	10.2%	11.8%	13.6%	16.2%	15.1%	6.1%	7.4%
<i>Comm, Soc Serv, Legal, Arts, Sports & Media</i>	8.5%	6.0%	7.2%	0.7%	5.4%	4.4%	5.8%	8.8%	5.4%	8.5%	5.2%	7.7%	6.6%	7.2%
<i>Healthcare Practioners & Technical</i>	1.3%	1.4%	1.6%	0.0%	1.5%	0.2%	1.5%	3.3%	0.0%	2.3%	1.4%	1.8%	1.1%	1.0%
<i>Service Occupations</i>	9.4%	7.2%	9.6%	3.5%	6.0%	7.1%	5.2%	8.9%	9.7%	9.1%	7.4%	10.2%	12.6%	9.7%
<i>Sales & Related Occupations</i>	0.0%	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.5%	0.5%	0.0%
<i>Office & Administrative Support</i>	12.7%	16.4%	14.7%	2.2%	18.1%	7.8%	17.2%	18.0%	17.9%	16.5%	14.4%	19.2%	8.0%	10.8%
<i>Natural Resources, Construction, & Maintenance</i>	2.2%	4.0%	4.9%	0.0%	5.8%	0.9%	4.1%	2.6%	5.2%	3.4%	7.9%	6.3%	2.5%	3.1%
<i>Production, Transportation, & Material Moving</i>	0.2%	1.9%	1.4%	0.0%	1.9%	0.1%	0.4%	6.0%	1.2%	1.0%	0.4%	2.9%	1.1%	1.0%

Comparison of Selected Postsecondary Peer Institutions with Wichita State University from the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS)

Dimensions	WSU	Peer type:		WATC	Peer New Mexico State University	Peer University of Massachusetts- Lowell	Peer University of Nevada Reno	Peer University of North Dakota	Peer Wright State University	Aspirational Auburn University	Aspirational Clemson University	Aspirational Oklahoma State University	Aspirational University of Akron	Aspirational University of Texas El Paso
		Peers	Aspirational											
Number of employees classified by primary function/occupational activity & faculty status for applicable functions. IPEDS data source: Employees by assigned position, faculty status, primary function/occupational activity, Fall 2014.														
With faculty status total	611	1,161	1,465	277	1,135	1,082	1,813	818	959	1,503	1,501	1,604	1,660	1,056
<i>Tenured faculty total</i>	310	407	637	27	471	336	459	384	387	892	676	754	499	364
<i>Non-tenured on tenure track</i>	122	147	206	33	212	100	172	119	131	219	281	256	141	135
<i>Not on tenure track</i>	179	607	621	217	452	646	1,182	315	441	392	544	594	1,020	557
percent of with faculty status	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<i>Tenured faculty total</i>	50.7%	35.1%	43.5%	9.7%	41.5%	31.1%	25.3%	46.9%	40.4%	59.3%	45.0%	47.0%	30.1%	34.5%
<i>Non-tenured on tenure track</i>	20.0%	12.6%	14.1%	11.9%	18.7%	9.2%	9.5%	14.5%	13.7%	14.6%	18.7%	16.0%	8.5%	12.8%
<i>Not on tenure track</i>	29.3%	52.3%	42.4%	78.3%	39.8%	59.7%	65.2%	38.5%	46.0%	26.1%	36.2%	37.0%	61.4%	52.7%
Number of full-time instructional staff by length of contract & academic rank. IPEDS data source: Instructional Staff & Salaries of full-time instructional staff, by contract length & academic rank AY 2014-2015.														
Equated 9-month contract Total	572	632	993	66	689	549	599	594	728	1,209	1,121	1,132	794	709
<i>Professor</i>	138	174	300	60	212	169	167	147	174	438	331	309	257	164
<i>Associate professor</i>	135	194	283	0	228	156	180	195	211	406	301	305	214	190
<i>Assistant professor</i>	132	151	202	0	216	91	162	166	121	236	253	242	153	124
<i>Instructor, lecturer & acad non-rank</i>	167	113	208	6	33	133	90	86	222	129	236	276	170	231
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<i>Professor</i>	24.1%	27.5%	30.2%	90.9%	30.8%	30.8%	27.9%	24.7%	23.9%	36.2%	29.5%	27.3%	32.4%	23.1%
<i>Associate professor</i>	23.6%	30.7%	28.5%	0.0%	33.1%	28.4%	30.1%	32.8%	29.0%	33.6%	26.9%	26.9%	27.0%	26.8%
<i>Assistant professor</i>	23.1%	23.9%	20.3%	0.0%	31.3%	16.6%	27.0%	27.9%	16.6%	19.5%	22.6%	21.4%	19.3%	17.5%
<i>Instructor, lecturer & acad non-rank</i>	29.2%	17.9%	21.0%	9.1%	4.8%	24.2%	15.0%	14.5%	30.5%	10.7%	21.1%	24.4%	21.4%	32.6%
Average salary values adjusted to Wichita area Cost of Living index														
Equated 9-month contract Total	\$76,148	\$81,489	\$88,653	\$39,204	\$69,765	\$73,359	\$93,624	\$79,459	\$86,477	\$85,129	\$100,856	\$88,360	\$81,607	\$86,974
<i>Professor</i>	\$95,148	\$100,751	\$109,024	\$39,204	\$85,230	\$87,800	\$120,774	\$98,369	\$105,198	\$104,954	\$125,912	\$106,418	\$99,277	\$108,693
<i>Associate professor</i>	\$71,262	\$77,169	\$79,822	n/a	\$65,817	\$67,815	\$88,040	\$77,926	\$80,960	\$74,851	\$90,878	\$80,657	\$74,112	\$78,685
<i>Assistant professor</i>	\$61,281	\$64,892	\$70,765	n/a	\$58,753	\$56,047	\$71,842	\$64,514	\$69,177	\$66,014	\$79,947	\$75,012	\$62,409	\$70,950

Comparison of Selected Postsecondary Peer Institutions with Wichita State University from the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS)



Dimensions	WSU	Peer type:		WATC	Peer New Mexico State University	Peer University of Massachusetts- Lowell	Peer University of Nevada Reno	Peer University of North Dakota	Peer Wright State University	Aspirational Auburn University	Aspirational Clemson University	Aspirational Oklahoma State University	Aspirational University of Akron	Aspirational University of Texas El Paso
		Peers	Aspirational											
Section 3: Student Related														
Section 3.1 Enrollment and Student Credit Hours (SCH)														
Number of students enrolled in the fall. Uses official fall reporting date (20th class day). IPEDS data source: Fall Enrollment 2014														
Total students	14,995	16,938	24,154	3,373	15,829	17,179	19,934	14,906	16,842	25,912	21,857	25,962	23,962	23,079
Undergraduate	11,977	13,365	19,674	3,373	12,784	12,983	16,839	11,537	12,682	20,629	17,260	20,952	19,710	19,817
Graduate	3,018	3,573	4,481	0	3,045	4,196	3,095	3,369	4,160	5,283	4,597	5,010	4,252	3,262
percent GR	20.1%	21.1%	18.6%	0.0%	19.2%	24.4%	15.5%	22.6%	24.7%	20.4%	21.0%	19.3%	17.7%	14.1%
Full-time total	10,429	12,134	18,811	1,050	12,267	10,797	15,266	10,786	11,553	22,035	19,462	20,129	18,035	14,392
Part-time total	4,566	4,804	5,344	2,323	3,562	6,382	4,668	4,120	5,289	3,877	2,395	5,833	5,927	8,687
percent FT	69.5%	71.6%	77.9%	31.1%	77.5%	62.8%	76.6%	72.4%	68.6%	85.0%	89.0%	77.5%	75.3%	62.4%
1st-time degree bound freshmen	1,432	2,237	3,832	410	1,862	1,673	3,387	1,906	2,359	4,592	3,475	4,056	3,780	3,256
percent of total	9.5%	13.2%	15.9%	12.2%	11.8%	9.7%	17.0%	12.8%	14.0%	17.7%	15.9%	15.6%	15.8%	14.1%
1st-time graduating HS Seniors	1,288	2,121	3,691	141	1,798	1,574	3,212	1,799	2,222	4,520	3,441	3,941	3,438	3,117
percent of total	8.6%	12.5%	15.3%	4.2%	11.4%	9.2%	16.1%	12.1%	13.2%	17.4%	15.7%	15.2%	14.3%	13.5%
Entering first-time undergraduate students enrolled in fall 2014; retention rates by full-time/part-time for students who were enrolled in fall 2013 & re-enrolled in fall 2014. Student-to-faculty ratios based on UG student FTE and faculty in undergraduate instruction. IPEDS data source: Fall Enrollment, 2014.														
Entering 1st time UG, fall 2012	3,133	3,523	5,434	1,268	2,611	3,303	5,042	3,063	3,596	5,955	4,912	5,904	5,136	5,264
Full-time retention rate in Fall 2013	75	77	81	62	74	84	82	80	66	91	92	81	74	68
Part-time retention rate in Fall 2013	34	50	55	42	31	67	48	50	53	60	67	61	43	45
Student-to-faculty ratio	18	20	18	14	17	18	22	19	22	17	16	20	19	20
IPEDS selected disciplines for majors reported by CIP areas (fall 2014, done every other year, next time will be fall 2016)														
Total IPEDS disciplines	7,425	7,679	11,655	0	6,940	7,965	9,446	5,710	8,332	14,511	10,209	12,888	10,484	10,182
Education	1,431	1,275	1,823	0	1,834	486	1,236	1,285	1,533	2,529	1,059	1,835	2,265	1,427
Engineering	2,914	2,502	3,980	0	1,979	3,170	2,809	1,864	2,688	5,765	3,981	3,530	3,586	3,039
Biological Sciences/Life Sciences	433	940	1,470	0	724	584	1,908	597	886	1,029	2,076	1,615	875	1,756
Mathematics	88	146	236	0	96	225	169	87	153	235	308	169	181	289
Physical Sciences	389	408	447	0	301	470	555	334	378	373	443	457	524	437
Business Mgmt & Admin Serv	2,170	2,408	3,698	0	2,006	3,030	2,769	1,543	2,694	4,580	2,342	5,282	3,053	3,234
percent of Total IPEDS disciplines	100%	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Education	19.3%	16.6%	15.6%	0.0%	26.4%	6.1%	13.1%	22.5%	18.4%	17.4%	10.4%	14.2%	21.6%	14.0%
Engineering	39.2%	32.6%	34.2%	0.0%	28.5%	39.8%	29.7%	32.6%	32.3%	39.7%	39.0%	27.4%	34.2%	29.8%
Biological Sciences/Life Sciences	5.8%	12.2%	12.6%	0.0%	10.4%	7.3%	20.2%	10.5%	10.6%	7.1%	20.3%	12.5%	8.3%	17.2%
Mathematics	1.2%	1.9%	2.0%	0.0%	1.4%	2.8%	1.8%	1.5%	1.8%	1.6%	3.0%	1.3%	1.7%	2.8%
Physical Sciences	5.2%	5.3%	3.8%	0.0%	4.3%	5.9%	5.9%	5.8%	4.5%	2.6%	4.3%	3.5%	5.0%	4.3%
Business Mgmt & Admin Serv	29.2%	31.4%	31.7%	0.0%	28.9%	38.0%	29.3%	27.0%	32.3%	31.6%	22.9%	41.0%	29.1%	31.8%



Comparison of Selected Postsecondary Peer Institutions with Wichita State University from the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS)

Dimensions	WSU	Peer type:		WATC	Peer	Peer	Peer	Peer	Peer	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational
		Peers	Aspirational		New Mexico State University	University of Massachusetts-Lowell	University of Nevada Reno	University of North Dakota	Wright State University	Auburn University	Clemson University	Oklahoma State University	University of Akron	University of Texas El Paso
Twelve month enrollment (unduplicated headcount) & credit hour activity of undergraduate and graduate students for FY 2014 (Fall 2013 to Summer 2014) .														
Total	337,891	402,592	611,211	66,611	404,702	374,788	464,150	369,253	400,066	654,511	616,198	644,749	608,763	531,834
UG credit hours	293,329	353,438	535,468	66,990	356,232	326,185	424,983	319,029	340,762	578,493	523,342	566,827	530,181	478,499
GR credit hours	44,562	49,154	75,743	0	48,470	48,603	39,167	50,224	59,304	76,018	92,856	77,922	78,582	53,335
percent GR	13.2%	12.2%	12.4%	0.0%	12.0%	13.0%	8.4%	13.6%	14.8%	11.6%	15.1%	12.1%	12.9%	10.0%
Total student fte	11,635	13,829	21,005	2,220	13,894	12,898	15,798	12,727	13,830	22,450	21,314	22,141	20,947	18,172
UG fte students	9,778	11,781	17,849	2,233	11,874	10,873	14,166	10,634	11,359	19,283	17,445	18,894	17,673	15,950
GR fte students	1,857	2,048	3,156	0	2,020	2,025	1,632	2,093	2,471	3,167	3,869	3,247	3,274	2,222
percent GR	16.0%	14.8%	15.0%	0.0%	14.5%	15.7%	10.3%	16.4%	17.9%	14.1%	18.2%	14.7%	15.6%	12.2%
All students total (unduplicated)	16,967	19,821	27,392	5,238	19,028	20,784	21,463	18,387	19,444	26,555	24,637	29,174	29,286	27,307
Undergraduate	13,461	15,415	21,663	5,238	15,119	15,438	17,883	14,214	14,423	20,760	18,453	22,711	23,427	22,963
Graduate	3,506	4,406	5,729	0	3,909	5,346	3,580	4,173	5,021	5,795	6,184	6,463	5,859	4,344
Percent GR	20.7%	22.2%	20.9%	0.0%	20.5%	25.7%	16.7%	22.7%	25.8%	21.8%	25.1%	22.2%	20.0%	15.9%

Comparison of Selected Postsecondary Peer Institutions with Wichita State University from the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS)

Dimensions	WSU	Peer type:		WATC	Peer New Mexico State University	Peer University of Massachusetts- Lowell	Peer University of Nevada Reno	Peer University of North Dakota	Peer Wright State University	Aspirational Auburn University	Aspirational Clemson University	Aspirational Oklahoma State University	Aspirational University of Akron	Aspirational University of Texas El Paso
		Peers	Aspirational											
Section 3.2 Financial Support														
Student charges include average tuition & required fees for both full-time UG and GR students. Price of attendance includes amounts for published tuition & required fees, books & supplies, room & board and other expenses. Estimates for books & supplies, room & board and other expenses are those from the Cost of Attendance report used by the financial aid office in determining financial need. Academic Year 2014-2015 (tuition,fees,room&board), Academic Year 2013-2014 (fin aid) IPEDS Data Sources: Student Financial Aid & Institutional Characteristics-Student Charges for														
Undergraduate Full-time:														
In-state avg tuition	\$5,869	\$5,498	\$7,969	\$5,592	\$4,812	\$1,454	\$6,105	\$6,388	\$8,730	\$8,592	\$12,606	\$4,425	\$8,618	\$5,606
In-state required fees	\$1,396	\$2,817	\$1,712	\$1,577	\$1,138	\$10,993	\$602	\$1,353	\$0	\$1,608	\$840	\$3,017	\$1,642	\$1,453
Out-of-state avg tuition	\$13,903	\$16,104	\$21,445	\$7,010	\$17,974	\$8,567	\$20,015	\$17,056	\$16,910	\$25,776	\$30,622	\$17,010	\$17,150	\$16,666
Out-of-state req fees	\$1,396	\$4,385	\$1,722	\$1,577	\$1,138	\$18,833	\$602	\$1,353	\$0	\$1,608	\$840	\$3,017	\$1,642	\$1,503
Graduate Full-time:														
In-state avg tuition	\$4,755	\$6,004	\$6,642	n/a	\$3,969	\$1,637	\$4,752	\$6,872	\$12,788	\$8,586	\$8,076	\$4,488	\$7,578	\$4,480
In-state required fees	\$837	\$2,781	\$1,449	n/a	\$853	\$11,162	\$538	\$1,353	\$0	\$1,608	\$790	\$2,413	\$1,388	\$1,045
Out-of-state avg tuition	\$11,679	\$15,800	\$17,024	n/a	\$13,838	\$6,425	\$18,662	\$18,350	\$21,724	\$25,758	\$16,868	\$18,360	\$12,976	\$11,157
Out-of-state req fees	\$837	\$3,859	\$1,459	n/a	\$853	\$16,553	\$538	\$1,353	\$0	\$1,608	\$790	\$2,413	\$1,388	\$1,095
On campus, room & board	\$8,436	\$9,277	\$9,922	n/a	\$8,100	\$11,278	\$10,196	\$6,746	\$10,066	\$12,178	\$8,358	\$8,710	\$11,064	\$9,300
Total number UG financial aid cohort	11,670	13,306	19,537	2,934	13,582	12,734	15,694	11,724	12,796	19,799	17,052	20,660	20,476	19,696
UG 1st-time FT fin aid FL cohort	1,266	2,121	3,447	189	1,902	1,626	3,046	1,888	2,141	3,696	3,290	3,701	3,589	2,961
Pct 1st-time FT UG cohort of all UG	11	16	18	6	14	13	19	16	17	19	19	18	18	15
Num FL cohort paying in-state rates	1,122	1,527	2,598	188	1,406	1,455	2,070	694	2,010	2,400	2,037	2,370	3,366	2,817
% FL cohort pay in-state rates	89	72	76	99	74	89	68	37	94	65	62	64	94	95
Num FL cohort paying out-of-state	144	594	849	1	496	171	976	1,194	131	1,296	1,253	1,331	223	144
% FL cohort paying out-of-state	11	28	24	1	26	11	32	63	6	35	38	36	6	5
Avg UG aid dollars received	\$4,925	\$6,369	\$7,547	\$2,856	\$8,591	\$7,015	\$5,462	\$4,679	\$6,099	\$8,901	\$8,684	\$7,589	\$5,236	\$7,324
Num UG receiving Pell grants	4,243	4,259	6,314	1,211	5,692	3,672	4,682	2,397	4,850	3,187	3,113	5,881	8,043	11,344
% UG receiving Pell grants	36	32	32	41	42	29	30	20	38	16	18	28	39	58
Avg UG Pell grant aid received	\$3,863	\$4,065	\$4,183	\$3,427	\$4,361	\$3,973	\$3,884	\$3,720	\$4,389	\$4,109	\$4,128	\$3,976	\$3,959	\$4,741
Num UG receiving Fed student loans	5947	6,411	8,892	1216	5436	7314	6330	5941	7035	6918	7340	8763	12212	9229
% UG receiving Fed student loans	51	49	45	41	40	57	40	51	55	35	43	42	60	47
Avg UG Fed student loan	\$7,335	\$7,202	\$6,800	\$5,754	\$6,346	\$7,348	\$7,610	\$6,772	\$7,934	\$6,833	\$6,859	\$6,722	\$7,002	\$6,583



Comparison of Selected Postsecondary Peer Institutions with Wichita State University from the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS)

Dimensions	WSU	Peer type:		WATC	Peer New Mexico State University	Peer University of Massachusetts- Lowell	Peer University of Nevada Reno	Peer University of North Dakota	Peer Wright State University	Aspirational Auburn University	Aspirational Clemson University	Aspirational Oklahoma State University	Aspirational University of Akron	Aspirational University of Texas El Paso
		Peers	Aspirational											
Section 3.3 Demographics														
Selected demographics for unduplicated headcounts within the fiscal year (Fall 2013 to Summer 2014). IPEDS data sources: 12 Month Enrollment.														
Sex of student:														
total (unduplicated)	16,967	19,821	27,392	5,238	19,028	20,784	21,463	18,387	19,444	26,555	24,637	29,174	29,286	27,307
male	8,071	10,012	13,784	2,456	8,759	12,133	10,005	9,784	9,377	13,580	13,263	14,993	14,609	12,475
female	8,896	9,810	13,608	2,782	10,269	8,651	11,458	8,603	10,067	12,975	11,374	14,181	14,677	14,832
percent female	52.4%	49.5%	49.7%	53.1%	54.0%	41.6%	53.4%	46.8%	51.8%	48.9%	46.2%	48.6%	50.1%	54.3%
Race/ethnicity of student:														
total (unduplicated)	16,967	19,821	27,392	5,238	19,028	20,784	21,463	18,387	19,444	26,555	24,637	29,174	29,286	27,307
American Indian/Alaska Ntv	129	201	374	95	440	26	172	330	38	186	33	1,518	60	71
Asian	1,028	822	485	234	249	1,685	1,334	309	533	594	420	490	645	274
Black or African American	968	1,012	1,878	769	585	1,172	707	473	2,123	2,053	1,519	1,313	3,669	834
Hispanic or Latino	1,356	2,954	4,882	543	9,159	1,516	3,111	513	471	602	586	1,322	583	21,317
Native Hawaiian/Oth Paci Islander	19	39	19	15	43	7	108	20	18	0	18	11	29	38
White	10,615	12,210	16,714	2,829	6,216	13,383	13,877	14,210	13,366	21,437	18,341	19,903	21,156	2,733
Two or more races	411	567	635	86	253	380	1,123	443	634	0	459	1,836	726	152
Race/ethnicity unknown	625	670	710	663	754	1,294	350	733	220	374	1,563	509	884	218
Nonresident alien	1,816	1,346	1,697	4	1,329	1,321	681	1,356	2,041	1,309	1,698	2,272	1,534	1,670
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
American Indian/Alaska Ntv	0.8%	1.0%	1.4%	1.8%	2.3%	0.1%	0.8%	1.8%	0.2%	0.7%	0.1%	5.2%	0.2%	0.3%
Asian	6.1%	4.1%	1.8%	4.5%	1.3%	8.1%	6.2%	1.7%	2.7%	2.2%	1.7%	1.7%	2.2%	1.0%
Black or African American	5.7%	5.1%	6.9%	14.7%	3.1%	5.6%	3.3%	2.6%	10.9%	7.7%	6.2%	4.5%	12.5%	3.1%
Hispanic or Latino	8.0%	14.9%	17.8%	10.4%	48.1%	7.3%	14.5%	2.8%	2.4%	2.3%	2.4%	4.5%	2.0%	78.1%
Native Hawaiian/Oth Paci Islander	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.3%	0.2%	0.0%	0.5%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%
White	62.6%	61.6%	61.0%	54.0%	32.7%	64.4%	64.7%	77.3%	68.7%	80.7%	74.4%	68.2%	72.2%	10.0%
Two or more races	2.4%	2.9%	2.3%	1.6%	1.3%	1.8%	5.2%	2.4%	3.3%	0.0%	1.9%	6.3%	2.5%	0.6%
Race/ethnicity unknown	3.7%	3.4%	2.6%	12.7%	4.0%	6.2%	1.6%	4.0%	1.1%	1.4%	6.3%	1.7%	3.0%	0.8%
Nonresident alien	10.7%	6.8%	6.2%	0.1%	7.0%	6.4%	3.2%	7.4%	10.5%	4.9%	6.9%	7.8%	5.2%	6.1%
Under-rep minorities*	2,472	4,206	7,152	1,422	10,227	2,721	4,098	1,336	2,650	2,841	2,156	4,164	4,341	22,260
percent of total unduplicated	16.3%	11.5%	31.8%	27.2%	57.8%	14.0%	19.7%	7.8%	15.2%	11.3%	9.4%	15.5%	15.6%	86.8%

* includes American Indian/Alaska Ntv, Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino and Native Hawaiian/Othr Pacific Islander excluding nonresident alien.

Comparison of Selected Postsecondary Peer Institutions with Wichita State University from the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS)

Dimensions	WSU	Peer type:		WATC	Peer New Mexico State University	Peer University of Massachusetts- Lowell	Peer University of Nevada Reno	Peer University of North Dakota	Peer Wright State University	Aspirational Auburn University	Aspirational Clemson University	Aspirational Oklahoma State University	Aspirational University of Akron	Aspirational University of Texas El Paso
		Peers	Aspirational											
Age distributions: (Fall 2014 data)														
Undergraduate only:														
	11,977	13,365	19,674		12,784	12,983	16,839	11,537	12,682	20,629	17,260	20,952	19,710	19,817
Age 18 and under	139	233	349		243	120	457	50	296	129	140	57	1,175	246
Age 18-19	2,591	3,898	6,321		3,279	3,247	5,872	3,348	3,746	7,878	6,566	5,949	5,910	5,300
Age 20-21	2,983	3,834	6,592		3,417	3,506	5,002	3,780	3,467	8,323	7,190	7,518	5,122	4,808
Age 22-24	2,702	2,740	3,909		2,899	2,553	3,217	2,404	2,628	3,443	2,686	4,925	3,885	4,604
Age 25-29	1,617	1,212	1,299		1,316	1,481	1,180	867	1,217	609	413	1,287	1,624	2,563
Age 30-34	804	579	518		633	733	472	520	535	132	133	538	723	1,066
Age 35-39	386	324	259		383	486	233	257	259	49	60	272	400	512
Age 40-49	428	348	282		380	553	251	221	334	48	48	264	547	505
Age 50-64	238	174	133		204	280	139	85	163	16	19	132	298	200
Age 65 and over	89	22	11		30	24	16	5	37	2	5	10	24	13
Age unknown	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
percent of Undergraduate only	100%	100%	100%		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Age 18 and under	1.2%	1.7%	1.8%		1.9%	0.9%	2.7%	0.4%	2.3%	0.6%	0.8%	0.3%	6.0%	1.2%
Age 18-19	21.6%	29.2%	32.1%		25.6%	25.0%	34.9%	29.0%	29.5%	38.2%	38.0%	28.4%	30.0%	26.7%
Age 20-21	24.9%	28.7%	33.5%		26.7%	27.0%	29.7%	32.8%	27.3%	40.3%	41.7%	35.9%	26.0%	24.3%
Age 22-24	22.6%	20.5%	19.9%		22.7%	19.7%	19.1%	20.8%	20.7%	16.7%	15.6%	23.5%	19.7%	23.2%
Age 25-29	13.5%	9.1%	6.6%		10.3%	11.4%	7.0%	7.5%	9.6%	3.0%	2.4%	6.1%	8.2%	12.9%
Age 30-34	6.7%	4.3%	2.6%		5.0%	5.6%	2.8%	4.5%	4.2%	0.6%	0.8%	2.6%	3.7%	5.4%
Age 35-39	3.2%	2.4%	1.3%		3.0%	3.7%	1.4%	2.2%	2.0%	0.2%	0.3%	1.3%	2.0%	2.6%
Age 40-49	3.6%	2.6%	1.4%		3.0%	4.3%	1.5%	1.9%	2.6%	0.2%	0.3%	1.3%	2.8%	2.5%
Age 50-64	2.0%	1.3%	0.7%		1.6%	2.2%	0.8%	0.7%	1.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.6%	1.5%	1.0%
Age 65 and over	0.7%	0.2%	0.1%		0.2%	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%
Graduate only:	3,018	3,573	4,481	0	3,045	4,196	3,095	3,369	4,160	5,283	4,597	5,010	4,252	3,262
Age 18 and under	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Age 18-19	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	2	0
Age 20-21	66	45	70	0	14	26	36	38	113	140	55	28	99	28
Age 22-24	927	829	1,223	0	586	860	573	779	1,349	1,785	1,384	1,220	1,168	559
Age 25-29	863	1,090	1,539	0	839	1,257	1,062	1,059	1,233	1,739	1,544	1,828	1,513	1,070
Age 30-34	422	595	681	0	593	685	596	574	526	713	679	840	593	582
Age 35-39	261	343	347	0	327	456	281	334	317	337	349	385	322	341
Age 40-49	275	425	421	0	396	620	324	399	386	390	421	465	372	459
Age 50-64	163	230	189	0	271	282	199	179	218	171	157	231	176	209
Age 65 and over	41	15	10	0	19	9	21	6	18	8	8	13	6	14
Age unknown	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
percent of Graduate only	100%	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Age 18 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age 18-19	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age 20-21	2.2%	1.3%	1.6%	0.0%	0.5%	0.6%	1.2%	1.1%	2.7%	2.7%	1.2%	0.6%	2.3%	0.9%
Age 22-24	30.7%	23.2%	27.3%	0.0%	19.2%	20.5%	18.5%	23.1%	32.4%	33.8%	30.1%	24.4%	27.5%	17.1%
Age 25-29	28.6%	30.5%	34.3%	0.0%	27.6%	30.0%	34.3%	31.4%	29.6%	32.9%	33.6%	36.5%	35.6%	32.8%
Age 30-34	14.0%	16.6%	15.2%	0.0%	19.5%	16.3%	19.3%	17.0%	12.6%	13.5%	14.8%	16.8%	13.9%	17.8%
Age 35-39	8.6%	9.6%	7.7%	0.0%	10.7%	10.9%	9.1%	9.9%	7.6%	6.4%	7.6%	7.7%	7.6%	10.5%
Age 40-49	9.1%	11.9%	9.4%	0.0%	13.0%	14.8%	10.5%	11.8%	9.3%	7.4%	9.2%	9.3%	8.7%	14.1%
Age 50-64	5.4%	6.4%	4.2%	0.0%	8.9%	6.7%	6.4%	5.3%	5.2%	3.2%	3.4%	4.6%	4.1%	6.4%
Age 65 and over	1.4%	0.4%	0.2%	0.0%	0.6%	0.2%	0.7%	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%	0.4%

Comparison of Selected Postsecondary Peer Institutions with Wichita State University from the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS)

Dimensions	WSU	Peer type:		WATC	Peer	Peer	Peer	Peer	Peer	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational
		Peers	Aspirational		New Mexico State University	University of Massachusetts-Lowell	University of Nevada Reno	University of North Dakota	Wright State University	Auburn University	Clemson University	Oklahoma State University	University of Akron	University of Texas El Paso
Section 3.4 Degree Related														
Number of awards granted between July 1, 2011 and June 30, 2014. IPEDS data source: Completions, FY 2014.														
Total (all degree types)*	3,124	3,496	5,419	1,261	3,653	3,417	3,528	3,211	3,671	6,223	5,166	5,666	5,566	4,473
<i>males</i>	1,343	1,637	2,657	530	1,589	1,948	1,590	1,417	1,641	3,042	2,666	2,890	2,717	1,971
<i>females</i>	1,781	1,859	2,762	731	2,064	1,469	1,938	1,794	2,030	3,181	2,500	2,776	2,849	2,502
% females	57.0%	53.2%	51.0%	58.0%	56.5%	43.0%	54.9%	55.9%	55.3%	51.1%	48.4%	49.0%	51.2%	55.9%
Selected degree types:														
total	3,124	3,496	5,419	1,261	3,653	3,417	3,528	3,211	3,671	6,223	5,166	5,666	5,566	4,473
<i>award of less than 1 academic year</i>	0	32	54	710	0	116	0	43	0	0	66	0	206	0
<i>award of at least 1 but less than 2 academic years</i>	0	45	5	451	0	0	0	57	168	0	3	14	7	0
<i>associates</i>	60	11	150	100	18	37	0	0	0	0	0	0	749	0
<i>bachelors</i>	2,211	2,426	3,798	0	2,714	2,285	2,743	2,096	2,290	4,625	3,746	4,102	3,181	3,338
<i>masters</i>	768	821	1,125	0	807	879	612	772	1,036	1,108	1,135	1,219	1,171	993
<i>doctoral research</i>	39	79	188	0	114	60	118	59	42	249	216	241	115	118
<i>doctoral professional</i>	46	83	98	0	0	40	55	184	135	241	0	90	137	24
<i>award of less than 1 academic year</i>	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<i>award of at least 1 but less than 2 academic years</i>	0.0%	0.9%	1.0%	56.3%	0.0%	3.4%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	3.7%	0.0%
<i>associates</i>	1.9%	0.3%	2.8%	7.9%	0.5%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	13.5%	0.0%
<i>bachelors</i>	70.8%	69.4%	70.1%	0.0%	74.3%	66.9%	77.7%	65.3%	62.4%	74.3%	72.5%	72.4%	57.2%	74.6%
<i>masters</i>	24.6%	23.5%	20.8%	0.0%	22.1%	25.7%	17.3%	24.0%	28.2%	17.8%	22.0%	21.5%	21.0%	22.2%
<i>doctoral research</i>	1.2%	2.2%	3.5%	0.0%	3.1%	1.8%	3.3%	1.8%	1.1%	4.0%	4.2%	4.3%	2.1%	2.6%
<i>doctoral professional</i>	1.5%	2.4%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	1.6%	5.7%	3.7%	3.9%	0.0%	1.6%	2.5%	0.5%
1st-time degree bound 2008 cohort (WATC=2011 cohort)														
2 year graduation rate				38%										
4 year graduation rate	19%	23%	30%	n/a	16%	27%	21%	23%	21%	42%	61%	34%	13%	12%
5 year graduation rate	37%	44%	49%	n/a	37%	49%	47%	46%	34%	65%	80%	55%	30%	29%
6 year graduation rate	44%	50%	55%	n/a	46%	54%	55%	54%	39%	71%	82%	61%	37%	38%

Comparison of Selected Postsecondary Peer Institutions with Wichita State University from the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS)

Dimensions	WSU	Peer type:		WATC	Peer	Peer	Peer	Peer	Peer	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational	Aspirational
		Peers	Aspirational		New Mexico State University	University of Massachusetts-Lowell	University of Nevada Reno	University of North Dakota	Wright State University	Auburn University	Clemson University	Oklahoma State University	University of Akron	University of Texas El Paso

Section 3.5 Admissions Related

Data on undergraduate applicants, admissions and test scores for institutions who do not have an open admissions policy. Data are for **Fall 2014**. IPEDS data source: Admissions.

number UG applicants	4,517	5,994	14,048	0	7,101	9,394	8,832	4,642	0	16,958	20,756	12,259	13,109	7,157
number UG admitted	4,315	4,433	10,746	0	4,942	5,825	7,408	3,992	0	14,154	10,694	9,188	12,546	7,149
% applicants to admits	95.5%	74.0%	76.5%	0.0%	69.6%	62.0%	83.9%	86.0%	0.0%	83.5%	51.5%	74.9%	95.7%	99.9%
number UG enrolled	1,583	1,761	3,797	0	1,862	1,648	3,387	1,906	0	4,592	3,475	4,057	3,605	3,254
% admits to enroll	36.7%	39.7%	35.3%	0.0%	37.7%	28.3%	45.7%	47.7%	0.0%	32.4%	32.5%	44.2%	28.7%	45.5%
UG performance scores:														
Num 1st-time with ACT scores	1,165	1,102	2,453	0	1,577	259	1,922	1,753	0	3,828	1,425	3,701	3,309	0
% 1st-time students reporting ACT	95	63	78	n/a	85	16	57	92		83	41	91	95	
ACT Composite 25th percentile score	21	21	23	n/a	18	22	21	21		24	26	22	19	
ACT Composite 75th percentile score	26	26	29	n/a	24	28	26	26		30	31	28	26	
ACT English 25th percentile score	20	19	21	n/a	16	21	20	20		24		21	18	
ACT English 75th percentile score	26	25	28	n/a	23	27	25	26		32		28	25	
ACT Math 25th percentile score	20	20	21	n/a	17	23	20	21		23		21	18	
ACT Math 75th percentile score	26	26	27	n/a	24	28	25	26		28		27	26	