Hydrogen chloride (HCl) by-product is often produced from chlorine-consuming processes. Traditional electrochemical processes for converting HCl to chlorine (Cl₂) are completed by anodic oxidation reaction coupled with cathodic reduction reactions (two major types: hydrogen evolution reaction and oxygen reduction reaction). Herein, a triiodide (I³⁻)/iodide (I⁻) redox-mediated cathode is implemented for the first time for converting HCl to Cl₂. The iodide (I⁻) can be converted back to triiodide (I³⁻) by air in a reactor external to the electrolyzer. The desirable redox potential and facile kinetics of I³⁻/I⁻ offer a substantially lower operational cell voltage, reducing energy consumption by 20%–25% at a typical current density of 4 kA m⁻² and improving the efficiency of Cl₂ recovery.
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As one of the most important industrial chemicals, chlorine gas (Cl₂) is used to synthesize numerous consumer products and useful intermediates. Chlorination of alkanes or aromatics is a substitution reaction with hydrogen, for which only one chlorine atom (Cl) is actually used to produce chloro-organics, the other chlorine atom being lost as hydrogen chloride (HCl) by-product. While the demand for Cl₂ rapidly rises, the HCl market is oversaturated. As such, on-site Cl₂ regeneration from HCl is increasingly desirable. Conversion from HCl to Cl₂ can be achieved via classic chemical reactions based on the Deacon reaction using a heterogeneous catalyst, but high temperature (e.g., 250–350 °C) and complex reactor design are needed to mitigate intrinsically low reactivity and yield.2,3

Alternatively, an electrochemical process is preferred via an electrolyzer with a simple modular design that can operate at mild operating temperatures and be scaled out easily. To complete the electrolysis process, anodic regeneration of Cl₂ from HCl must be balanced by a cathodic reaction. There are two major types of cathodes used in HCl electrolysis: the hydrogen evolution cathode (HEC) (Eq. 1), and the oxygen reduction cathode (ORC), also industrially called oxygen depolarized cathode (ODC) (Eq. 2).

\[ 2\mathrm{H}^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_2 \quad 0.00 \text{ V vs. SHE} \]  
\[ \mathrm{O}_2 + 4\mathrm{H}^+ + 4e^- \rightarrow 2\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O} \quad 1.23 \text{ V vs. SHE} \]

HEC-based electrolysis can produce H₂ as a valuable by-product but ODC-based electrolysis offers significantly lower standard cell voltage due to its high redox potential (1.23 V vs. SHE).

Both aqueous HCl solution and HCl gas can be the feedstock at the anode of the electrolyzer. Gaseous HCl is often more desirable than an aqueous solution, not only because gaseous HCl by-product is readily available from industry but also because it lowers the anode potential (chlorine evolution reaction) by 370 mV (0.99 vs. 1.36 V, SHE, for gaseous vs. aqueous HCl at standard conditions). In addition, the absence of water fundamentally eliminates the parasitic oxygen evolution reaction at the anode, drastically improving coulombic efficiency and suppressing electrode corrosion. The first proof of principle of gaseous HCl electrolysis was demonstrated by DuPont in 1995.4,5 A fuel-cell-type electrolyzer was used in the process with an HEC. Later, an ODC was also employed as well in the gaseous HCl electrolysis process, as claimed in the patent by DuPont.6

Compared with HEC, ODC-based electrolysis can lower the standard cell voltage of gaseous HCl electrolysis. However, the operational voltage is still high because of the sluggish oxygen reduction reaction (ORR). Vidaković-Koch et al. indicated that the overpotential for anodic HCl oxidation is about 90 mV, but even with a platinum catalyst, the overpotential for cathodic ORR is about 800 mV at a typical current density of 4 kA m⁻².7

The redox-mediated cathode has been considered as an alternative to HEC and ODC for HCl electrolysis. Iron (Fe³⁺/Fe²⁺) redox mediation for HCl electrolysis was previously proposed by Low et al. in 1944.8 Herein we introduce I³⁻/I⁻ redox mediation for gaseous HCl electrolysis. Opposite to Fe³⁺/Fe²⁺ redox that is based on cations, I³⁻/I⁻ redox is based on anions, which intrinsically alleviates the problematic ionic crossover through the cation-exchange membrane (e.g., Nafion) in the electrolyzer. In addition, I³⁻/I⁻ offers desirable redox potential and facile kinetics, similar to Fe³⁺/Fe²⁺.

Electrochemical reduction of I³⁻ in an electrolyzer (forming I⁻) and chemical oxidation of I⁻ in a chemical reactor (regenerating I³⁻) is shown in Figure 1. The overall processes are described as follows.

Electrochemical process:

Anode: \[ 2\mathrm{HCl} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}_2 + 2\mathrm{H}^+ + 2e^- \quad 0.99 \text{ V vs. SHE} \]  
Cathode: \[ \Gamma^- + 2e^- \rightarrow 3\Gamma^- \quad 0.54 \text{ V vs. SHE} \]

Chemical process:

\[ 3\Gamma^- + \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{O}_2 + 2\mathrm{H}^+ \rightarrow \Gamma_3^- + \mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O} \]  
Overall reaction:

\[ 4\mathrm{HCl} + \mathrm{O}_2 \rightarrow 2\mathrm{Cl}_2 + 2\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O} \]  

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first example of a I³⁻/I⁻ redox-mediated cathode (TIRC) for electrolytic regeneration of Cl₂ from HCl gas. Compared with HEC or ODC, TIRC has a desirable redox potential, 0.54 V vs. SHE, high enough for a low standard cell voltage (0.45 V with a gaseous HCl feed) and at the same time low enough for I⁻ to be oxidized to I³⁻ in ambient air. HEC has very high electrode activity (exchange current density, j₀, on Pt: ~10⁻¹ A cm⁻²) but a very low standard cathode potential (p`: 0 V vs. SHE); ODC offers a high standard cathode potential (p`: 1.23 V vs. SHE) but is very sluggish (j₀, on Pt: ~10⁻¹⁰ A cm⁻²).8 TIRC brings a moderate standard cathode potential (p`: 0.54 V vs. SHE) and a high enough electrode activity (j₀, on glassy carbon: ~10⁻² A cm⁻²) without requiring a catalyst.9,10 As a result, TIRC greatly lowers...
the operational electrolyzer voltage, substantially reducing energy consumption.

**Experimental**

**Iodide (I⁻) ions oxidation.** Oxidation of I⁻ ions was carried out in a batch system consisting of magnetic stirring and temperature control. A mixed solution consisted of predetermined hydrochloric acid concentration and 3 M potassium iodide (KI, Aldrich) was conducted in the batch system by bubbling air or oxygen to the bottom of the solution. Air and oxygen flow rates were determined as 800 ml min⁻¹ and 200 ml min⁻¹ through a rotameter, respectively. I⁻ concentration was calculated by titration with 0.1 M sodium thiosulfate (NaS₂O₃, Aldrich) at given time interval.

**Cyclic voltammetry (CV) measurement of catholyte based on glassy carbon in RDE.** A polished glassy carbon electrode (effective area of 0.196 cm², Pine Instrument) was immersed in the jacketed glass cell filled with iodine (1 M), potassium iodide (1 M) and hydrochloric acid (2 M) mixed solution as supporting electrolyte. An Ag/AgCl electrode was employed as the reference electrode and a Pt wire served as the counter electrode. CV was recorded from 0 to 0.5 V vs. Ag/AgCl at low scan rates and from −0.2 to 0.8 V vs. Ag/AgCl at high scan rates. The cell resistance was also measured immediately after CV test, and the real part of the impedance at 1 kHz was taken as the cell internal resistance from the AC impedance spectrum. All the CV curves were plotted based on the internal resistance (IR)-corrected data.

**Diffusion coefficient (Dₖ) measurement.** Diffusion coefficient can be calculate by Randles-Sevcik Equation:7,13

\[
i_p = (2.69 \times 10^7)n^{3/2}A C D_v^{1/2}
\]

where \(i_p\) is the peak current, \(n\) is the number of electrons, \(A\) is the reaction area (cm²), \(C\) is the concentration of reactants (mole cm⁻³), \(D_v\) is the diffusion coefficient (cm² s⁻¹), \(v\) is the scan rate (V s⁻¹).

**Membrane electrode assembly preparation.** ODC electrolytic cell: Pt/C (60 wt%, TKK) was used as catalysts both anode and cathode. A homogeneous ink consisted of Pt/C (60 wt%, TKK), Nafion dispersion (5 wt%, DuPont) and isopropanol was sprayed onto the microporous layer (MPL) of a commercially available gas diffusion layer (SGL25 BC, SGL Carbon Corp). The composition of the dry catalyst layers was 65 wt% catalyst (Pt/C) and 35 wt% Nafion. The platinum loading was 0.6 mg cm⁻². Two pieces of electrode consisted of Pt/C catalysts and Nafion ionomer and Nafion 117 membrane were assembled directly for ODC electrolytic cell. TIRC electrolytic cell: Pt/C (60 wt%, TKK) was used as the anode catalysts. The anode electrode was prepared: A homogeneous ink consisted of Pt/C (60 wt%, TKK), PFTE emulsion (20 wt%) and ethanol was coated on the microporous layer (MPL) of a commercially available gas diffusion layer (SGL25 BC, SGL Carbon Corp) using a blade, followed by calcining at 240°C for 30 min and 340°C for 30 min in N₂ to form the electrode. The composition of the dry catalyst layers was 64 wt% catalyst (Pt/C) and 36 wt% PFTE. The platinum loading was 0.6 mg cm⁻². A stacked (×3) carbon papers (TGP-H-060, Toray) were used as cathode electrode. Anode electrode, Nafion 212 membrane and cathode electrode were assembled directly to form MEA for TIRC electrolytic cell. HEC electrolytic cell: the anode and cathode electrodes were prepared according to TIRC electrolytic cell and ODC electrolytic cell method, respectively. Anode electrode, Nafion 117 membrane and cathode electrode were assembled directly to form MEA for HEC electrolytic cell.

**Electrolytic cells evaluation test.** A 5 cm² cell with graphite current collectors was used for all experiments (Fuel Cell Technologies). Anhydrous HCl gas was supplied to the anode at 50 ml min⁻¹ through a rotameter. Oxygen with the humidity temperature of 60°C at 200 ml min⁻¹ was fed to the cathode of ODC electrolytic cell. Hydrochloric acid (1M, 200 ml) was supplied to the cathode of HEC electrolytic cell at 200 ml min⁻¹ by a peristaltic pump. An aqueous solutions (200 ml) consisted of iodine (1 M), potassium iodide (1 M) and hydrochloric acid (2 M) were magnetically stirred and supplied to the cathode of TIRC at 200 ml min⁻¹ by a peristaltic pump. Electrolytic cells were operated at 40°C at atmospheric pressure. The electrochemical measurements were performed by a power supply (BK9171, BK Precision). For HEC and ODC electrolytic cells, voltage-current curves (U-I curve) were recorded after 30 min activation operation. For TIRC electrolytic cell, U-I curves were recorded at different I⁻ oxidation depth. The different I⁻ oxidation depth were charged at constant current mode and determined by sodium thiosulfate (0.1 M) titration.

**Coulombic efficiency measurement.** Coulombic efficiency was determined using iodometry test. The mixed gas (chlorine and HCl) exited from the anode of cell was absorbed by a potassium iodide solution (50 ml, 3g KI) for 5 min. 10 ml of the samples was extracted.
Figure 2. (a) The concentration of regenerated I$_3^-$ versus oxidation time in 1 M or 2 M HCl solution by bubbling air or pure oxygen. (b) Digital photo of 3 M KI solution with different HCl concentrations. (c) CV curves of I$_3^-$/I$^-$ at different scan rates: 100 (gray), 250 (orange), 400 (navy), 500 (magenta), 750 (olive) and 900 (cyan) mV s$^{-1}$. (d) Oxidation (top, pink) and reduction (bottom, navy) peak currents from c against the square root of scan rate.

and titrated by 0.1 M sodium thiosulfate to calculate the moles of chlorine produced. Coulombic efficiency was then calculated using Equation 8.

\[
\text{Coulombic efficiency} = \frac{\text{Cl}_2 \text{ produced (mol) } \times 2 \times \text{Faraday constant (C/mol)}}{\text{Current (A) } \times \text{time (s)}}
\]  
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**TIRC electrolytic cell durability test.**—A 5 cm$^2$ cell with graphite current collectors was used for all experiments (Fuel Cell Technologies). Anhydrous HCl gas was supplied to the anode at 50 ml min$^{-1}$ through a rotameter. A catholyte solution (1.5 L, theoretical calculation based on I$_3^-$ regenerated rate to keep 100% I$_3^-$ oxidation depth) consisted of iodine (1 M), potassium iodide (1 M) and hydrochloric acid (2 M) were magnetically stirred and supplied to the cathode of TIRC at 200 ml min$^{-1}$ by a peristaltic pump. Oxygen was bubbled to the bottom of the catholyte. Electrolytic cells were operated at 40 $^\circ$C at atmospheric pressure. The voltage was recorded at the current density of 4 kA m$^{-2}$ every hour.

**Results and Discussion**

As proof of concept, we investigated both the chemical and electrochemical processes. I$_3^-$ regeneration was investigated by oxidizing I$^-$ with air or pure oxygen. Pure oxygen resulted in expectedly faster regeneration under the same conditions (oxygen: 0.009 mol L$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$, air: 0.004 mol L$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$, in 1 M HCl and 40 $^\circ$C; Figure 2a). The regeneration rate can be further improved by increasing HCl concentration (0.025 mol L$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ at 2 M HCl and 40 $^\circ$C). Note that HCl concentration was not increased beyond 2 M due to decreasing solubility of potassium iodide (Figure 2b).

The electrochemistry of I$_3^-$/I$^-$ redox was studied by CV. Figure 2c shows both I$_3^-$ reduction and I$^-$ oxidation, sequentially, at different scan rates. As expected, the potential separation between the reduction peak and oxidation peak slightly increased with scan rate. The ratio
of anodic to cathodic peak current ($I_{pa}/I_{pc}$) approaches unity ($\sim 1.0$), indicating excellent coulombic reversibility for the redox reaction. $I^-_3$ reduction is a two-electron process,$^{15,16}$ which is also observed in this work (two reduction peaks can be observed at low scan rates, from 1 to 25 mV s$^{-1}$, Figure 3). The oxidation and reduction peak currents are proportional to the square root of the scan rate ($\nu$) (Figure 2d). The diffusion coefficient ($D_0$) of $I^-_3$ reduction was determined to be $2.79 \times 10^{-7}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$, which is consistent with other reports ($1.98–2.59 \times 10^{-7}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$).$^{17}$

A TIRC-based electrolyzer was also evaluated. A stable OCV of 0.45 V was observed (Figure 4a), consistent with the theoretical prediction (0.45 V) described in Eqns. 3 and 4. This OCV was much lower than that of an HEC-based electrolyzer (0.99 V) and comparable to that of an ODC-based one (0.41 V) under the same conditions (Figure 4c). HEC did not show a kinetically controlled regime, but still showed high operational voltage due to high standard voltage. Though ODC showed similar OCV to TIRC, the operational voltage increased rapidly even at current densities below 1 kA m$^{-2}$ (100 mA cm$^{-2}$) due to sluggish ORR at the cathode.$^{18}$ Taking advantage of TIRC, a lower cell voltage was achieved: 0.94 V vs. 1.16 V (ODC) and 1.22 V (HEC) at a typical current density of 4 kA m$^{-2}$. This lower operational voltage leads to a 20–25% reduction in energy consumption (710 vs. 901 and 939 kWh per ton Cl$_2$), the lowest reported in the literature (Table I).$^7$

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig3.png}
\caption{CV curves of $I^-_3$/I$^-$ at different scan rates: 1 (gray), 5 (orange), 10 (navy), 15 (magenta), 20 (olive) and 25 (cyan) mV s$^{-1}$.}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig4.png}
\caption{(a) OCV of TIRC at 40$\,^\circ$C. (b) Schematics of HEC, ODC and TIRC with gaseous HCl feedstock. (c) Performance comparison of HEC, ODC and TIRC (80% oxidation depth, which is consisted of 0.8 mol L$^{-1}$ I$^-_3$ and 0.6 mol L$^{-1}$ I$^-$ in catholyte solution) with anhydrous HCl gas feedstock at 40$\,^\circ$C. (d) U-I curves of TIRC with anhydrous HCl gas feedstock at different I$^-_3$ oxidation depth at 40$\,^\circ$C.}
\end{figure}
Table I. Comparison of the rivaling electrolysis processes for chlorine regeneration from HCl.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HEC</th>
<th>Bayer-Uhde-Hoechst (^7)</th>
<th>Dupont-Denora (^6)</th>
<th>ODC</th>
<th>Bayer-Uhdenora (^7)</th>
<th>Clausthal Univ. Tech. (^18)</th>
<th>TIRC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current density (kA m(^{-2}))</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit-cell voltage (V)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy consumption (kWh tCl(_2)(^{-1}))</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>980</td>
<td>1,020</td>
<td>733</td>
<td>710</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCl-form Anode</td>
<td>Aqueous graphite</td>
<td>Gaseous RuO(_2) coating on carbon paper</td>
<td>Gaseous RuO(_2) coating on titanium plate</td>
<td>Gaseous Pt/C coating on carbon cloth</td>
<td>Gaseous Pt/C coating on carbon paper</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cathode</td>
<td>Graphite</td>
<td>Pt/C coating on carbon paper</td>
<td>Rh(_x)Sy coating on carbon paper</td>
<td>Pt/C coating on carbon cloth</td>
<td>Carbon papers (×3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separator</td>
<td>PVC</td>
<td>Nafion 117</td>
<td>Nafion</td>
<td>Nafion 117</td>
<td>Nafion 212</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>60–90°C</td>
<td>80°C</td>
<td>60°C</td>
<td>40°C</td>
<td>40°C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Not known which type of Nafion.

The performance of TIRC-based HCl electrolyzer with different oxidation depths of I\(_3^-\)/I\(^-\) in the catholyte was investigated (Figure 4d). For oxidation depths of 20–80%, operational voltage was maintained between 0.94 and 1.04 V. Note that high oxidation depth is required for TIRC to deliver high electrolyzer performance; for example, 5% resulted in poor performance. In addition, TIRC offers high coulombic efficiency, e.g., 95.4–99.7% at 1–6 kA m\(^{-2}\) (Figure 5), significantly above that of aqueous HCl electrolysis (e.g., 34–37% at 1–4 kA m\(^{-2}\)). \(^19\)

Further, we carried out preliminary durability tests for the TIRC system by simultaneously bubbling oxygen into the catholyte tank (Figure 6a). Figure 6b shows that the TIRC system worked continuously for 17 hours, with a slight operational voltage increase from 0.91 to 1.19 V at 4 kA m\(^{-2}\). Note that the durability of TIRC system can be improved by optimizing electrolyzer/components such as adopting durable anode catalyst, and the energy efficiency can be further enhanced by engineering the design of chemical reactor.

Conclusions

In summary, I\(_3^-\)/I\(^-\) redox-mediated cathode electrolysis was proposed and implemented for the first time for converting anhydrous...
HCl gas to Cl₂. A low cell voltage was achieved due to the due to its redox potential and fast I₃⁻ reduction reaction compared to conventional HEC or ODC processes, reducing energy consumption by 20%–25% at a typical current density of 4 kA m⁻². Cell optimization and reactor engineering may further improve the durability and efficiency.
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